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#### Abstract

We obtain the existence conditions and asymptotic, as $t \uparrow \omega(\omega \leq+\infty)$, representations of one class of solutions of a binomial nonautonomous third-order differential equation with rapidly varying nonlinearity and their derivatives of the first and second order.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=\alpha_{0} p(t) \varphi(y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0} \in\{-1,1\}, p:\left[a, \omega[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ is a continuous function, $-\infty<a<\omega \leq+\infty, \varphi: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow$ $] 0,+\infty[$ is a twice continuously differentiable function such that

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(y) \neq 0 \text { as } y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}, \quad \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0}  \tag{1.2}\\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \varphi(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { or } & 0, \\
\text { or } & +\infty,
\end{array} \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\varphi(y) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}=1,\right.
$$

$Y_{0}$ is equal either to zero or to $\pm \infty, \Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is some one-sided neighborhood of the point $Y_{0}$.
From the identity

$$
\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) \varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}=\frac{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}}+1 \text { as } y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}
$$

and conditions (1.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)} \sim \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)} \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0} \quad\left(y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}\right) \text { and } \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{y \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}= \pm \infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in the equation under consideration, the function $\varphi$ and its first-order derivative are (see [10, Chapter 3, Section 3.4, Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, pp. 91-92]) rapidly varying as $y \rightarrow Y_{0}$.

The asymptotic properties of solutions of binomial second-order differential equations with nonlinearities satisfying condition (1.2) were studied in the works of M. Marić [10], V. M. Evtukhov and his students: N. G. Drik, V. M. Kharkov, A. G. Chernikova [4-6]. Moreover, in the monograph by M. Marić [10, Chapter 3, Section 3.4, pp. 90-99] in the particular case, where $\alpha_{0}=1, \omega=+\infty$, $Y_{0}=0$ and $p$ is a properly varying function as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, the asymptotic representations of solutions that tend to zero as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ were obtained.

In the paper by V. M. Evtukhov and N. G. Drik [5], a special case, where $\varphi(y)=e^{\sigma y}, \sigma \neq 0$, was considered.

In [6], V. M. Evtukhov and V. M. Kharkov investigated a class of solutions, which is determined by using the function $\varphi(y)$.

In the paper by V. M. Evtukhov and A. G. Chernikova [4], for the second-order differential equation (1.1) in case $\varphi$ is a rapidly varying function as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, the asymptotic properties of the so-called $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions were completely investigated. It seems natural to try to extend these results to the third-order differential equations.

It should be noted that the results obtained by V. M. Evtukhov and V. N. Shinkarenko [9] on the asymptotic behavior of such solutions of differential equations of higher than the second order in the case, where $\varphi(y)=e^{\sigma y}, \sigma \neq 0$, are known.

Definition 1.1. A solution $y$ of the differential equation (1.1) is called a $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution, where $-\infty \leq \lambda_{0} \leq+\infty$, if it is defined on the interval $\left[t_{0}, \omega[\subset[a, \omega[\right.$ and satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(t) \in \Delta_{Y_{0}} \text { as } t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega\left[, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} y(t)=Y_{0},\right.\right. \\
& \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} y^{(k)}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { or } & 0, \\
\text { or } & \pm \infty,
\end{array} \quad k=1,2, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{y^{\prime 2}(t)}{y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) y^{\prime}(t)}=\lambda_{0} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The aim of the present paper is to obtain the necessary and sufficient existence conditions of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of equation (1.1) in a non-particular case, where $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, as well as asymptotic, as $t \uparrow \omega$, representations of such solutions and their derivatives of order up to two.

## 2 Functions from the $\Gamma, \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ classes and their asymptotic properties

Without loss of generality, we will further assume that

$$
\Delta_{Y_{0}}= \begin{cases}{\left[y_{0}, Y_{0}[,\right.} & \text { if } \Delta_{Y_{0}} \text { is a left neighborhood of the point } Y_{0}  \tag{2.1}\\ ] Y_{0}, y_{0}\right], & \text { if } \Delta_{Y_{0}} \text { is a right neighborhood of the point } Y_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|y_{0}\right|<1$ as $Y_{0}=0$ and $y_{0}>1\left(y_{0}<-1\right)$ as $Y_{0}=+\infty\left(\right.$ as $\left.Y_{0}=-\infty\right)$.
The function $f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying condition (1.2), as $Y_{0}= \pm \infty$, and $\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} f(y)=+\infty$, belongs to the class $\Gamma$ introduced by L. Khan (see [1, Chapter 3, p. 3.10, p. 175]).

Definition 2.1. The class $\Gamma$ consists of measurable nondecreasing and right continuous functions $f:\left[y_{0},+\infty[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, for each of which there is a measurable function $g:\left[y_{0},+\infty[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty[\right.$, which complements the function $f$, such that

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(y+u g(y))}{f(y)}=e^{u} \text { for any } u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

In [9], the asymptotic properties of functions from this class were investigated in sufficient detail.
Using the change of variables, the class $\Gamma$ in the paper by of V. M. Evtukhov and A. G. Chernikova [4] was extended to the class $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ of functions $\left.f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, where $Y_{0}$ is equal either to zero or to $\pm \infty$, and $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is a one-sided neighborhood of the point $Y_{0}$, for which

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} f(y)=Z_{0}= \begin{cases}\text { or } & 0 \\ \text { or } & +\infty\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.2. We say that the function $\left.f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty[$ belongs to the class of functions $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$, if:
(1) the function $f_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{f(y)}$, as $Y_{0}=+\infty$ and $Z_{0}=0$;
(2) the function $f_{0}(y)=f(-y)$, as $Y_{0}=-\infty$ and $Z_{0}=+\infty$;
(3) the function $f_{0}(y)=f\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$, as $Y_{0}=0$, where $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is a right neighborhood of zero, and $Z_{0}=+\infty$;
(4) the function $f_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)}$, as $Y_{0}=0$, where $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is a right neighborhood of zero, and $Z_{0}=0$;
(5) the function $f_{0}(y)=f\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right)$, as $Y_{0}=0$, where $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is a left neighborhood of zero, and $Z_{0}=+\infty$;
(6) the function $f_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{f\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right)}$, as $Y_{0}=0$, where $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is a left neighborhood of zero, and $Z_{0}=0$;
(7) the function $f_{0}(y) \equiv f(y)$, as $Y_{0}=+\infty$ and $Z_{0}=+\infty$ belongs to the class $\Gamma$.

Using these two definitions, we conclude that for the function $f \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ the limit relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in Y_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{f(y+u g(y))}{f(y)}=e^{u} \text { for any } u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, in which the function $g$, that is complementary for $f$, in each of the cases 1 ) - 7) can be expressed through the function $g_{0}$, that is complementary for $f_{0}$, in the following way (respectively):
(1) $g(y)=-g_{0}(y)$;
(2) $g(y)=-g_{0}(-y)$;
(3) $g(y)=-y^{2} g_{0}\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$;
(4) $g(y)=y^{2} g_{0}\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$;
(5) $g(y)=y^{2} g_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right)$;
(6) $g(y)=-y^{2} g_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right)$;
(7) $g(y)=g_{0}(y)$.

Using the properties of the class $\Gamma$ (see the monograph by Bingham [1]) the following statements were obtained in [4].

## Lemma 2.1.

1. If $f \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g$, then $\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} \frac{g(y)}{y}=0$.
2. If $f \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g$, then for any function $u: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the conditions

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} u(y)=u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} f(y+u(y) g(y))=Z_{0}
$$

the limit relation

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{f(y+u(y) g(y))}{f(y)}=e^{u_{0}}
$$

holds.
If $f \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g$ and, moreover, is continuous and strictly monotone, then there exists a continuous strictly monotone inverse function $f^{-1}: \Delta_{Z_{0}} \rightarrow \Delta_{Y_{0}}$, where

$$
\Delta_{Z_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { or } & {\left[z_{0}, Z_{0}[,\right.} \\
\text { or } & ] Z_{0}, z_{0}\right],
\end{array} \quad z_{0}=f\left(y_{0}\right), \quad Z_{0}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} f(y)\right.
$$

By virtue of Theorems 3.10.4, 3.1.16 from the monograph [1, Chapter 3, p. 3.10, p. 176 and p. 3.1, p. 139] and Definition 2.2, this inverse function has the following properties.

Lemma 2.2. If $f \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g$ and is a continuous strictly monotone function on the interval $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$, then the inverse function $f^{-1}: \Delta_{Z_{0}} \rightarrow \Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is slowly varying as $z \rightarrow Z_{0}$ and satisfies the limit relation

$$
\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow Z_{0} \\ z \in \Delta z_{0}}} \frac{f^{-1}(\lambda z)-f(z)}{g\left(f^{-1}(z)\right)}=\ln \lambda \text { for any } \lambda>0
$$

Moreover, for any $\Lambda>1$ this limit relation holds uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{\Lambda}, \Lambda\right]$.
We present some of the important properties of the class of twice continuously differentiable functions $f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, where $Y_{0}$ is equal either to zero or to $\pm \infty$, and $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$ is some one-sided neighborhood of the point $Y_{0}$, each of which satisfies the conditions

$$
f^{\prime}(y) \neq 0 \text { as } y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}, \quad \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} f(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { or } & 0, \\
\text { or } & \pm \infty,
\end{array} \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{f(y) f^{\prime \prime}(y)}{f^{\prime 2}(y)}=1,\right.
$$

the proof of which is given in the work of V. M. Evtukhov and A. G. Chernikova [4].

Lemma 2.3. If a twice continuously differentiable function $\left.f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty[$ satisfies conditions (2.1), then it belongs to the class $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is uniquely determined up to the equivalent, as $y \rightarrow Y_{0}$, functions, which can, for example, be one of the following functions:

$$
\frac{\int_{Y}^{y}\left(\int_{Y}^{t} f(u) d u\right) d t}{\int_{Y}^{y} f(x) d x} \sim \frac{\int_{Y}^{y} f(x) d x}{f(y)} \sim \frac{f(y)}{f^{\prime}(y)} \sim \frac{f^{\prime}(y)}{f^{\prime \prime}(y)} \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

where

$$
Y= \begin{cases}y_{0}, & \text { or } \\ \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} f(y)=+\infty \\ Y_{0}, & \text { or } \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} f(y)=0\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.1. The given Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 refer to the case, where $\left.f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty[$ (i.e., it takes positive values). In the case of the function $\left.f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow\right]-\infty, 0[$ we will say that it belongs to the class $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$, if $(-f) \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(-Z_{0}\right)$. Then it is not difficult to verify that Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 also remain valid.

## 3 The main results

Let us introduce the necessary auxiliary notation. We assume that the domain of the function $\varphi$ in equation (1.1) is determined by formula (2.2). Next, we set

$$
\mu_{0}=\operatorname{sign} \varphi^{\prime}(y), \quad \nu_{0}=\operatorname{sign} y_{0}, \quad \nu_{1}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \Delta_{Y_{0}}=\left[y_{0}, Y_{0}[ \right. \\ -1, & \text { if } \left.\left.\Delta_{Y_{0}}=\right] Y_{0}, y_{0}\right]\end{cases}
$$

and introduce the following functions:

$$
J(t)=\int_{A}^{t} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(\tau) p(\tau) d \tau, \quad \Phi(y)=\int_{B}^{y} \frac{d s}{\varphi(s)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{\omega}(t)= \begin{cases}t, & \text { if } \omega=+\infty, \\
t-\omega, & \text { if } \omega<+\infty,\end{cases}  \tag{3.1}\\
A=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\omega, & \text { if } \int_{a}^{\omega} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(\tau) p(\tau) d \tau=\text { const, } \\
a, & \text { if } \int_{a}^{\omega} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(\tau) p(\tau) d \tau= \pm \infty,
\end{array} \quad B= \begin{cases}Y_{0}, & \text { if } \int_{y_{0}}^{Y_{0}} \frac{d s}{\varphi(s)}=\text { const } \\
y_{0}, & \text { if } \int_{y_{0}}^{Y_{0}} \frac{d s}{\varphi(s)}= \pm \infty\end{cases} \right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account the definition of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of the differential equation (1.1), we note that the numbers $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}$ determine the signs of any $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution, its first derivative (respectively) in some left neighborhood of $\omega$. It is clear that the condition

$$
\nu_{0} \nu_{1}<0 \text { if } Y_{0}=0, \quad \nu_{0} \nu_{1}>0 \text { if } Y_{0}= \pm \infty
$$

is necessary for the existence of such solutions.
Now we turn our attention to some properties of the function $\Phi$. It retains a sign on the interval $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$, tends either to zero or to $\pm \infty$, as $y \rightarrow Y_{0}$, and is increasing on $\Delta_{Y_{0}}$, since on this interval
$\Phi^{\prime}(y)=\frac{1}{\varphi(y)}>0$. Therefore, there is an inverse function $\Phi^{-1}: \Delta_{Z_{0}} \rightarrow \Delta_{Y_{0}}$, where due to the second of conditions (1.2) and the monotone increase of $\Phi^{-1}$,

$$
Z_{0}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0}  \tag{3.2}\\
y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \Phi(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { or } & 0, \\
\text { or } & +\infty,
\end{array} \quad \Delta_{Z_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[z_{0}, Z_{0}[,\right.} & \text { if } \Delta_{Y_{0}}=\left[y_{0}, Y_{0}[,\right. \\
] Z_{0}, z_{0}\right], & \text { if } \left.\left.\Delta_{Y_{0}}=\right] Y_{0}, y_{0}\right],
\end{array} \quad z_{0}=\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)\right.\right.
$$

By virtue of the L'Hospital rule in the form of Stolz and the last of conditions (1.2), we get

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \rightarrow Y_{0}}} \frac{\Phi(y)}{\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in Y_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\frac{1}{\varphi(y)}}{-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}}=-\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) \varphi(y)}=-1
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y) \sim-\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)} \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0} \text { and } \operatorname{sign} \Phi(y)=-\mu_{0} \text { as } y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first of these relations it also follows that

$$
\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(y)}{\Phi(y)}=\frac{\frac{1}{\varphi(y)}}{\Phi(y)} \sim-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}, \quad \frac{\Phi^{\prime \prime}(y) \Phi(y)}{\Phi^{\prime 2}(y)}=\frac{-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi^{2}(y)} \Phi(y)}{\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(y)}} \sim 1 \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

Therefore, according to Lemma 2.3, $\Phi \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with a complementary function, which can be selected as one of the equivalent functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(y)}{\Phi^{\prime \prime}(y)} \sim \frac{\Phi(y)}{\Phi^{\prime}(y)} \sim-\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)} \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the above notation, as $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0 ; 1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, we introduce the auxiliary functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t) & =\frac{\alpha_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2} \pi_{\omega}^{3}(t) p(t) \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}}\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) J(t)\right)\right)}{\lambda_{0} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)} \\
H(t) & =\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition to the above properties of the twice continuously differentiable functions $f: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying conditions (2.1), we will need one more auxiliary statement about a priori asymptotic properties of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of the differential equation (1.1) which follows from Corollary 10.1 of [8].
Lemma 3.1. If $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0 ; 1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, then for each $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution of differential equation (1.1) the asymptotic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi_{\omega}(t) y^{\prime}(t)}{y(t)}=\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}[1+o(1)], \quad \frac{\pi_{\omega}(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{\lambda_{o}}{\lambda_{0}-1}[1+o(1)], \quad \frac{\pi_{\omega}(t) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}=\frac{1+o(1)}{\lambda_{0}-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \uparrow \omega$ hold, where $\pi_{\omega}(t)$ is defined by (3.1).
For equation (1.1), the following assertions hold.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0 ; 1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Then for the existence of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of the differential equation (1.1), it is necessary that the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{0} \nu_{1} \lambda_{0}>0  \tag{3.6}\\
\nu_{0} \nu_{1}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) \pi_{\omega}(t)>0 \text { as } t \in(a, \omega)  \tag{3.7}\\
\alpha_{0} \mu_{0} \lambda_{0} J(t)<0 \text { as } t \in(a, \omega)  \tag{3.8}\\
\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\lambda_{0}} \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} J(t)=Z_{0}, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\pi_{\omega}(t) J^{\prime}(t)}{J(t)}= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} q(t)=\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold. Moreover, each solution of that kind admits the asymptotic representations

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t) & =\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+\frac{o(1)}{H(t)}\right] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega,  \tag{3.10}\\
y^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega,  \tag{3.11}\\
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{\pi_{\omega}^{2}(t)}[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0 ; 1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, conditions (3.6)-(3.9) hold, there exist a limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega}\left[\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}-q(t)\right]|H(t)|^{\frac{2}{3}}=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a finite or equal to $\pm \infty$ limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} \frac{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}} \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{y \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the differential equation (1.1) has at least one $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution admitting the asymptotic, as $t \uparrow \omega$, representations

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t) & =\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+\frac{o(1)}{H(t)}\right]  \tag{3.15}\\
y^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) \pi_{\omega}(t)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+o(1) H^{-\frac{2}{3}}\right]  \tag{3.16}\\
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(t)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+o(1) H^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right] . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, there exist one-parameter family of such solutions in case $\mu_{0} \lambda_{0} \nu_{1}<0$, and two-parameter family, when $\mu_{0} \lambda_{0} \nu_{1}>0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $y:\left[t_{0}, \omega\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$ be an arbitrary $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution of the differential equation (1.1). Then, according to Lemma 3.1, the asymptotic relations (3.5) hold. By virtue of these relations and (1.1), this solution and its derivatives of the first, second and third order retain the signs on a certain interval $\left[t_{1}, \omega\left[\subset\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.\right.\right.$, and for these signs the asymptotic relations (3.5) hold, from which follow condition (3.6) and inequality (3.7). In addition, from (1.1), taking into account the second of the asymptotic relations (3.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(y(t))}=\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(t) p(t)[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this relation from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we get

$$
\int_{y\left(t_{0}\right)}^{y(t)} \frac{d s}{\varphi(s)}=\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(\tau) p(\tau)[1+o(1)] d \tau \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

Since, according to the definition of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution, $y(t) \rightarrow Y_{0}$ as $t \uparrow \omega$, it follows that the improper integrals

$$
\int_{y\left(t_{0}\right)}^{Y_{0}} \frac{d s}{\varphi(s)} \text { and } \int_{t_{0}}^{\omega} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(\tau) p(\tau) d \tau
$$

converge or diverge simultaneously. In view of this fact and the rule for choosing the integration limits $A$ and $B$ in the functions $J$ and $\Phi$, introduced at the beginning of this section, the aforementioned relation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y(t))=\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here, taking into account (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that inequality (3.8) and the first of conditions (3.9) are true. By virtue of the first of conditions (3.3), it follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t) \varphi^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)}{\varphi(y(t))}=-\frac{\lambda_{0} \pi_{\omega}(t) p(t)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) J(t)}[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

and, therefore, taking into account the first and second of the asymptotic relations (3.4) and the asymptotic relations (3.5),

$$
\frac{y(t) \varphi^{\prime}(y(t))}{\varphi(y(t))}=-\frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) \pi_{\omega}^{3}(t) p(t)}{\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right) J(t)} \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

From this relation, by virtue of (1.3) and the definition of the $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solution, it directly follows that the second of the limit conditions (3.9) holds.

Now, from (3.19) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)[1+o(1)]\right) \text { as } t \uparrow \omega \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Phi$, as is stated earlier, belongs to the class $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$, where $Z_{0}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} \Phi(y)$, and the function $g(y)=-\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}$ can be chosen as its complementary function. Then, according to the conditions $\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\lambda_{0}} \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} J(t)=Z_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t) \in \Delta_{Z_{0}}$ as $t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$, which follow from (3.8) and the first condition of (3.1), according to Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)[1+o(1)]\right)-\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{-\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow Z_{0}} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(z(1+o(1)))-\Phi^{-1}(z)}{-\frac{\varphi(z)}{\varphi^{\prime}(z)}}=0
$$

whence it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}}\right. & J(t)[1+o(1)]) \\
& =\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} o(1) \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of this relation, from (3.20) we obtain the asymptotic representation (3.10). If we consider that

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{y \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}= \pm \infty
$$

then (3.9) can be written as

$$
y(t)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

and, therefore, according to the first of the asymptotic relations (3.4), the asymptotic representations (3.11) and (3.12) hold.

It remains to establish the validity of the third of conditions (3.1). According to (3.10), from (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\alpha_{0} p(t) \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)} o(1)\right) \text { as } t \uparrow \omega \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$, where $Z_{0}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \varphi(y)$, which according to the second conditions of (1.2) is equal either to zero or to $+\infty$, and the function $g(y)=\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}$ can be chosen as its complementary function, on the basis of Lemma 2.1, taking into account the conditions $\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)=Y_{0}$ and $\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right) \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}$ as $t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)} o(1)\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\varphi\left(y+\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)} o(1)\right)}{\varphi(y)}=1 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)} o(1)\right)=\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right) \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

and the asymptotic relation (3.21) can be written as

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\alpha_{0} p(t) \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

By virtue of this representation and (3.12),

$$
\frac{\pi_{\omega}(t) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}=\frac{\alpha_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2} \pi_{\omega}^{3}(t) p(t) \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}[1+o(1)] \text { as } t \uparrow \omega
$$

According to the third of the asymptotic relations (3.5), we obtain the validity of the third of conditions (3.9).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Suppose that there exists a limit (3.13) that is finite or equal to $\pm \infty$ and for some $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ conditions (3.7), (3.8) and one of the conditions either (3.14) or (3.16) and (3.17) hold. Under these conditions, we establish the existence of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of the differential equation (1.1) that admit asymptotic representations (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and find the number of such solutions.

First, taking into account the existence of limit (3.13) that is finite or equal to $\pm \infty$, we show that this limit can only be zero. Assume the opposite. Then the relation

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}=\frac{z(y)}{y^{\frac{2}{3}}}
$$

holds, where the function $z: \Delta_{Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and such that

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0}  \tag{3.22}\\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} z(y)= \begin{cases}\text { or } & c=\text { const } \neq 0 \\ \text { or } & \pm \infty\end{cases}
$$

Integrating this relation on the interval from $y_{0}$ to $y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}=c_{0}+\int_{y_{0}}^{y} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is some constant.
If $\int_{y_{0}}^{Y_{0}} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s= \pm \infty$, then after dividing by $y^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we have

$$
-3\left(\frac{y \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{\int_{y_{0}}^{y} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s}{y^{\frac{1}{3}}}[1+o(1)] \text { as } y \rightarrow Y_{0}
$$

Here, the expression on the left, by virtue of (1.3), tends to zero as $y \rightarrow Y_{0}$, and that of on the right, by virtue of condition (3.22), tends either to a nonzero constant or to $\pm \infty$, as according to the L'Hospital rule in the form of Stolz

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} \frac{\int_{y_{0}}^{y} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s}{y^{\frac{1}{3}}}=3 \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta Y_{0}}} z(y)
$$

which is impossible.
If $\int_{y_{0}}^{Y_{0}} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s$ converges, which is possible only in the case $Y_{0}=0$, then we rewrite (3.23) in the form

$$
-3 \mu_{0}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}=c_{1}+\int_{0}^{y} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s
$$

where $c_{1}=c_{0}+\int_{y_{0}}^{0} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s$. Let us prove that $c_{1}=0$. Indeed, if $c_{1} \neq 0$, then from this relation it follows that

$$
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}=-\frac{27}{c_{1}^{3}}+o(1) \text { as } y \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence, as a result of integration on the interval from $y_{0}$ to $y$, we get

$$
\ln |\varphi(y)|=\text { const }+o(1) \text { as } y \rightarrow 0
$$

which contradicts the second of conditions (1.2). Hence, $c_{1}=0$ and, therefore, we have

$$
-3\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{z(s)}{s^{\frac{2}{3}}} d s
$$

Dividing both sides of this equality by $y^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we note that, by virtue of conditions (1.3), the left-hand side of the resulting relation tends to zero as $y \rightarrow 0$, and the right-hand side, by virtue of the L'Hospital rule and (3.22), tends either to a nonzero constant or to $\pm \infty$.

The contradictions obtained in each of the two possible cases lead to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in Y_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}} \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{y \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}}=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying the transformation to equation (1.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t) & =\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+\frac{y_{1}}{H(t)}\right] \\
y^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) \pi_{\omega}(t)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+y_{2}(t)\right]  \tag{3.25}\\
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2} \pi_{\omega}^{2}(t)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\left[1+y_{3}(t)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain a system of differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{H(t)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}-q(t)+h(t) y_{1}+\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1} y_{2}\right]  \tag{3.26}\\
y_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[\left(\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}-q(t)\right)+(1-q(t)) y_{2}+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}-1} y_{3}\right] \\
y_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[2-\frac{2 q(t)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1}+\frac{q(t)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1} y_{1}+(2-q(t)) y_{3}+\frac{q(t)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1} R\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(t) & =\left.q(t) \frac{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi(y)}\right)^{2}}\right|_{y=\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)}, \\
R\left(t, y_{1}\right) & =\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} y_{1}\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}-1-y_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider this system of equations on the set

$$
\Omega=\left[t_{0}, \omega\left[\times D_{1} \times D_{2} \times D_{3}, \text { where } D_{i}=\left\{y_{i}:\left|y_{i}\right| \leq 1\right\} \quad(i=1,2,3)\right.\right.
$$

and the number $t_{0} \in[a, \omega[$ is chosen, by taking into account conditions (3.2), (3.3), (3.8), the first two conditions (3.9) and (1.3), so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t) \in \Delta_{Z_{0}} \text { as } t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[ \right. \\
\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} v_{1} \in \Delta_{Y_{0}} \text { as } t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega\left[, \text { and }\left|v_{1}\right| \leq 1\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

On this set, the right-hand sides of the system of differential equations (3.26) are continuous and the function $R$ has on the set $\left[t_{0}, \omega\left[\times D_{1}\right.\right.$ continuous partial derivatives up to the second order inclusive with respect to the variable $v_{1}$. At the same time, we have

$$
R_{y_{1}}^{\prime}\left(t, y_{1}\right)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \lambda_{0}\left(\frac{\left.\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)\right.} y_{1}\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}-1 .
$$

Here $\varphi^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g(y)=\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}$. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} y_{1}\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left.\left(\lambda_{0}-\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}=\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_{0} \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_{0}}}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}\left(y+y_{1}\left(\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}\right)\right.}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}=e^{y_{1}} .
$$

If, for any fixed $t \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$, the function $R$ is expanded according to the Maclaurin formula with the residual Lagrange term to the second-order terms, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(t, v_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \times \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \xi\right) y_{1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\xi|<\left|y_{1}\right|$. Here, by virtue of the last of conditions (1.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right. & \left.+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \xi\right) \\
& =\frac{\varphi^{\prime 2}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \xi\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \xi\right)}\left[1+r_{1}\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} r_{1}\left(t, y_{1}\right)=0$ uniformly with respect to $y_{1} \in D_{1}$. Therefore, considering that the functions $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{Y_{0}}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ with the complementary function $g(y)=\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) J(t)\right)+\frac{\varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)} \xi\right) \\
&=\frac{\varphi^{\prime 2}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{\varphi\left(\Phi ^ { - 1 } \left(\alpha_{0} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\left.\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{0}} J(t)\right)\right)}{} e^{\xi}\left[1+r_{2}\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right]\right.\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} r_{2}\left(t, y_{1}\right)=0$ uniformly with respect to $y_{1} \in D_{1}$. Therefore, (3.23) can be written as

$$
R\left(t, y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} e^{\xi}\left[1+r_{1}\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right]\left[1+r_{2}\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right] y_{1}^{2}
$$

It is clear from the above that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there are $\delta>0$ and $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right| \leq(0.5+\varepsilon)\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} \text { as } t \in\left[t_{1}, \omega\left[\text { and } y_{1} \in D_{1 \delta}=\left\{y_{1}:\left|y_{1}\right| \leq \delta\right\}\right.\right. \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing arbitrarily the number $\varepsilon>0$, we select for it, taking into account the aforementioned about the properties of the function $R$, the numbers $\delta>0$ and $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$ such that inequality (3.27) holds, and consider system (3.30) on the set

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, \omega\left[, \quad z_{1} \in[-\delta, \delta], \quad z_{2} \in[-1,1], \quad z_{3} \in[-1,1]\right\}\right.\right.
$$

In addition, in the system of equations (3.26), due to conditions (3.6) - (3.8), (3.13), (1.2) and (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} q(t)=\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} h(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} H(t)= \pm \infty \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish the existence of $P_{\omega}\left(Y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$-solutions of equation (1.1) admitting asymptotic representations (3.10)-(3.12), it is necessary, according to transformation (3.25), to prove the existence of solutions that tend to zero, as $t \uparrow \omega$, of the system of differential equations (3.26). In order to use the well-known results on the existence of solutions of quasilinear systems of differential equations that disappear at a singular point, we reduce system (3.26) to the form that allows us to use such results.

Applying to system (3.26) an additional transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=z_{1}, \quad v_{2}=H^{-\frac{2}{3}}(t) z_{2}, \quad v_{3}=H^{-\frac{1}{3}}(t) z_{3} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get a system of differential equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{H^{\frac{1}{3}}(t)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[f_{1}(t)+c_{11}(t) z_{1}+c_{12}(t) z_{2}+c_{13}(t) z_{3}\right]  \tag{3.30}\\
z_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{H^{\frac{1}{3}}(t)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[f_{2}(t)+c_{21}(t) z_{1}+c_{22}(t) z_{2}+c_{23}(t) z_{3}\right] \\
z_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{H^{\frac{1}{3}}(t)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)}\left[f_{3}(t)+c_{31}(t) z_{1}+c_{32}(t) z_{2}+c_{33}(t) z_{3}+\frac{q(t)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1} V\left(t, z_{1}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(t)=\left[\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}-q(t)\right] H^{\frac{2}{3}}(t), \quad f_{2}(t)=\left[\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}-q(t)\right] H^{\frac{1}{3}}(t), \quad f_{3}(t)=2-\frac{2 q(t)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1} \\
c_{11}(t)=h(t) H^{\frac{2}{3}}(t), \quad c_{12}(t)=\frac{2 \lambda_{0}-1}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \quad c_{13}(t)=0, \quad c_{21}(t)=0, \quad c_{23}(t)=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}-1} \\
c_{22}(t)=H^{-\frac{2}{3}}(t)\left(1-\frac{1}{3} q(t)+\frac{2}{3} q(t) h(t) H(t)\right), \quad c_{31}(t)=\frac{q(t)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1}, \quad c_{32}(t)=0 \\
c_{33}(t)=H^{-\frac{2}{3}}(t)\left(2-\frac{2}{3} q(t)+\frac{1}{3} q(t) h(t) H(t)\right), \quad V\left(t, z_{1}\right)=\frac{q(t)}{2 \lambda_{0}-1} R\left(t, z_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Choosing arbitrarily the number $\varepsilon>0$, we select for it, taking into account the aforementioned about the properties of the function $R$, the numbers $\delta>0$ and $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \omega[\right.$ such that inequality (3.27) holds, and consider system (3.30) on the set

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, \omega\left[, \quad z_{1} \in[-\delta, \delta], \quad z_{2} \in[-1,1], \quad z_{3} \in[-1,1]\right\}\right.\right.
$$

By virtue of (3.28), the replacement of $y_{1}$ by $z_{1}$ and the first of conditions (3.28),

$$
\lim _{z_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(t, z_{1}\right)}{z_{1}^{2}}=0 \text { uniformly with respect to } t \in\left[t_{1}, \omega[.\right.
$$

In addition, according to conditions (3.28), (3.24) and the notation introduced at the beginning of this section, we have $\operatorname{sign} H(t) \pi_{\omega}(t)=\mu_{0} \nu_{0} \pi_{\omega}(t)$ as $t \in(a, \omega)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} f_{1}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} f_{2}(t)=0, \\
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} f_{3}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{11}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{12}(t)=\frac{\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \\
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{22}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{23}(t)=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \\
\lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{31}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}-1}, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow \omega} c_{33}(t)=0, \\
\int_{t_{1}}^{\omega} \frac{|H(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\pi_{\omega}(\tau)} d \tau= \pm \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

This, in particular, implies that the limit matrix of coefficients, standing at $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ in square brackets of system (3.30), has the form

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\lambda_{0}-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}-1} \\
\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}-1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and its characteristic equation is that of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{3}-\frac{\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)^{3}}=0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)>0$, then in this case the algebraic equation (3.31) has two complex-conjugate roots with negative real part and one positive real root.

If $\lambda_{0}\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)<0$, then equation (3.31) has two complex-conjugate roots with a positive real part and one negative real root.

Suppose further that conditions (3.13) are satisfied. It follows that for the system of differential equations (3.30) all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 from [7] are satisfied. According to this theorem, we find that when $\mu_{0} \nu_{1} \lambda_{0}>0$, the system of differential equations (3.29) has a two-parameter family of solutions $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right):\left[t_{*}, \omega\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}\left(t_{*} \in\left[t_{1}, \omega[)\right.\right.\right.\right.$ that disappear at $t \uparrow \omega$. To each of them, due to substitutions (3.25) and (3.29), there corresponds a solution $y:\left[t_{*}, \omega[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ admitting asymptotic representations (3.10)-(3.12) and (3.15)-(3.17).

If $\mu_{0} \nu_{1} \lambda_{<} 0$, the system of differential equations (3.30) has a one-parameter family of solutions $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right):\left[t_{*}, \omega\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}\left(t_{*} \in\left[t_{1}, \omega[)\right.\right.\right.\right.$ that disappear at $t \uparrow \omega$. To each of them, due to substitutions (3.25) and (3.29), there corresponds a solution $y:\left[t_{*}, \omega[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ admitting asymptotic representations (3.10)-(3.12) and (3.15)-(3.17).
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