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PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF

Abstract. The nonautonomous delay differential system

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t-\tau)),
$$

is considered, where $\tau>0, f: R \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is a continuous vector function such that

$$
f(t+4 \tau, x)=f(t, x), \quad f(t, x)=\nabla_{x} F(t, x)
$$

Using the critical point theory, the conditions ensuring the existence of a nontrivial $4 \tau$-periodic solution of that system are established in the case, where $F(t, x)$ is superquadratic in $x$.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper studies the existence of periodic solutions for the first-order delay differential equations (with superquadratic growth conditions)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t-\tau)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C\left(R \times R^{n}, R^{n}\right)$ and $\tau>0$ is a given constant.
The results on the existence of periodic solutions for a functional differential equation were obtained by several authors, but there are only a few results on periodic solutions to delay differential equations using critical point theory. We refer the reader to $[3-7,9-13]$ and the references therein.

In this paper, we study periodic solutions of (1.1) under some superquadratic condition. We apply critical point theory directly in the study of periodic orbits of the system (1.1); we do not reduce the original existence problem (1.1) to an existence problem for an associated Hamiltonian system.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that:
$\left(F_{1}\right) f$ is periodic with respect to the first variable with the period $4 \tau$ and is odd with respect to the phase variables, i.e.,

$$
f(t+4 \tau, x)=f(t, x), \quad f(t,-x)=-f(t, x)
$$

for every $t \in R$ and $x \in R^{n}$;
$\left(F_{2}\right)$ there exists a continuously differentiable $\tau$-periodic function $F(t, x) \in$ $C^{1}\left(R \times R^{n}, R^{+}\right)$with respect to $t$, such that $\nabla_{x} F=f$.
For our first result we assume the following:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ there is a constant $\nu>2$ such that

$$
0<\nu F(t, x) \leq(x, f(t, x)) \text { whenever } x \neq 0
$$

Here and in the sequel, $(\cdot, \cdot): R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ denotes the standard inner product in $R^{n}$ and $|\cdot|$ the induced norm.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ there is a constant $a_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|f(t, x)| \leq a_{1}(x, f(t, x)), \quad \forall|x| \geq 1
$$

Remark 1. Set $a_{2}=\min _{|x|=1, t \in[0, \tau]} F(t, x), a_{3}=\max _{|x| \leq 1, t \in[0, \tau]} F(t, x)$. We have from $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ that

$$
F(t, x) \geq a_{2}|x|^{\nu}, \quad \forall|x| \geq 1
$$

and

$$
F(t, x) \geq a_{2}|x|^{\nu}-a_{3}, \quad \forall x \in R^{n} .
$$

Remark 2. Choose $q>2$. By $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $a_{4}>0$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \leq \varepsilon|x|^{2}+a_{4}|x|^{q}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0, \tau] \times R^{n}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$. Then the system (1.1) possesses a nontrivial $4 \tau$-periodic solution.

It is easy to see that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ does not include nonlinearities like

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x)=|x|^{2}\left(\ln \left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\right)^{q}, \quad p, q>1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the theorem below we study periodic solutions of (1.1) under some superquadratic condition which covers a case like (1.2). We assume $F$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(V_{1}\right) F(t, x) \geq 0$, for all $(t, x) \in[0,4 \tau] \times R^{n}$;
( $V_{2}$ ) $F(t, x)=o\left(|x|^{2}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t$;
$\left(V_{3}\right) \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly in $t$;
$\left(V_{4}\right)$ there exist positive constants $\beta>1,1<\lambda<1+\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x, f(t, x))-2 F(t, x) \geq c_{1}|x|^{\beta}-c_{2}, \quad(t, x) \in[0,4 \tau] \times R^{n}  \tag{1.3}\\
|f(t, x)| \leq c_{3}|x|^{\lambda}+c_{4}, \quad(t, x) \in[0,4 \tau] \times R^{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{4}\right)$. Then (1.1) possesses a nontrivial $4 \tau$-periodic solution.

This paper is motivated by [6] where the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for the delay differential equations

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=-f(x(t-\tau))
$$

have been discussed.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish a variational structure for (1.1) with a periodic boundary value condition, and we show that the existence of $4 \tau$-periodic solutions is equivalent to the existence of critical points of some variational functional defined on a suitable Hilbert space. Our main results will be proved in Section 3.

## 2. Variational Structure

By means of the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{2 \tau}{\pi} s, \quad x(t)=y(s) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the system (1.1) receives the form

$$
y^{\prime}(s)=g\left(s, y\left(s-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
g(s, y)=\frac{2 \tau}{\pi} f\left(\frac{2 \tau}{\pi} s, y\right)
$$

and $g$ is $2 \pi$-periodic with respect to the first variable. Therefore, without loss of generality, one can assume that $\tau=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $f$ is $2 \pi$-periodic with respect to the first variable. Thus (1.1) transforms to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, x\left(t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we seek for $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of (2.2) which, of course, correspond to $4 \tau$-periodic solutions of (1.1).

Let $C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ denote the space of $2 \pi$-periodic $C^{\infty}$ functions on $R$ with values in $R^{n}$. Any $x \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ has the following Fourier expansion in the sense that it is convergent in the space $L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{k} \cos k t+b_{k} \sin k t\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{k}, b_{k} \in R^{n}(k=1,2, \ldots)$.
Let $x \in L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$. If for every $z \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right) d t=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(y(t), z(t)) d t
$$

then $y$ is called a weak derivative of $x$ denoted by $y=\dot{x}(t)$. Here and in the sequel, $(\cdot, \cdot): R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R$ denotes the standard inner product in $R^{n}$ and $|\cdot|$ the induced norm.

Let $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ be the closure of $C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ with respect to the Hilbert norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}=\left[\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(1+k)\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ can also be obtained by interpolation from the Sobolev spaces $H^{1}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$. More specifically, for any $x \in L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, if $x$ has a Fourier expansion with the convergence in the space $L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, then $x$ has a representation as in (2.3). Thus, $x \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, if and only if $x \in L^{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, and

$$
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(1+k)\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty
$$

For any $x, y \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right),\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ can be explicitly expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}=\left(a_{0}, \bar{a}_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(1+k)\left(\left(a_{k}, \bar{a}_{k}\right)+\left(b_{k}, \bar{b}_{k}\right)\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y(t)=\frac{\bar{a}_{0}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(\bar{a}_{k} \cos k t+\bar{b}_{k} \sin k t\right)
$$

From the definition of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(1+k)\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $L_{2 \pi}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ denote the space of $2 \pi$-periodic essentially bounded (measurable) functions from $R$ into $R^{n}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\infty}}:=\operatorname{esssup}\{|z(t)|: t \in[0,2 \pi]\}
$$

Set

$$
E=\left\{x \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right): x\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=-x\left(t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $E=\left\{x \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right): x\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=-x\left(t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{x(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{2 k-1} \cos (2 k-1) t+b_{2 k-1} \sin (2 k-1) t\right)\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2 k-1}, b_{2 k-1} \in R^{n}$.
Proof. For

$$
x(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{k} \cos k t+b_{k} \sin k t\right) \in E
$$

we have $x(t)=-x(t+\pi)$, and this implies

$$
a_{0}=-a_{0}, \quad a_{k}=(-1)^{k+1} a_{k}, \quad b_{k}=(-1)^{k+1} b_{k}
$$

so (2.7) holds.
We define

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A x, y\rangle & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\dot{x}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), y\right) d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E  \tag{2.8}\\
\Phi(x) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x(t)) d t \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), x(t)\right)-F(t, x(t))\right] d t=\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-\Phi(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{x}(t)$ denotes the weak derivative of $x(t)$. Then $A$ has a sequence of eigenvalues

$$
\cdots \xi^{(-m)} \leq \cdots \leq \xi^{(-2)} \leq \xi^{(-1)}<0<\xi^{(1)} \leq \xi^{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq \xi^{(m)} \cdots
$$

with $\xi^{(m)} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\xi^{(-m)} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varphi^{j}$ be the eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\xi^{(j)}, j= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm m, \ldots$ Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{0} & =\operatorname{ker}(A) \\
E^{-} & =\text {the negative eigenspace of } A \\
E_{k}^{+} & =\text {the positive eigenspace of } A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$.

From the argument in $[1,2]$, we have
Lemma 2.2. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}\right)-$ $\left(V_{4}\right)$ ) hold. Then the functional $I$ is continuously differentiable on $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ and $I^{\prime}(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(x), y\right\rangle_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\dot{x}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-f(t, x), y\right) d t, \quad y \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we need the following observations, which are necessary in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.
Lemma 2.3. $A$ is self-adjoint on $E$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(x) \in E$ for $\forall x \in E$.
Proof. For any $x, y \in E$, by the Riesz representation theorem, $A x$ can be viewed as a function belonging to $E \subseteq H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ such that $\langle A x, y\rangle=$ $(A x)(y)$.

Combining (2.8) and $y(t)=-y(t-\pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A x, y\rangle_{E} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\dot{x}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), y(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \dot{y}(t)\right) d t=, ~=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x(t), \dot{y}\left(t-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x(t), \dot{y}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) d t=\langle x, A y\rangle_{E} .
$$

Thus $A$ is self-adjoint on $E$.
Now $\forall x \in E$ and $y \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, we have from $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(x(t+\pi)), y\right\rangle_{E}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f((t, x(t+\pi)), y(t)) d t= \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f((t,-x(t)), y(t)) d t=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f((t, x(t)), y(t)) d t=-\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(x(t)), y\right\rangle_{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Phi^{\prime}(x) \in E$ for $\forall x \in E$.
Lemma 2.4. The existence of $2 \pi$-periodic solutions $x(t)$ for (2.2) is equivalent to the existence of critical points of the functional I.
Lemma 2.5 ( $[8])$. Let $E$ be a real Hilbert space with $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ and $E_{1}=\left(E_{2}\right)^{\perp}$. Suppose $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ satisfy the $(\mathbf{P S})$ condition, and
$\left(C_{1}\right) I(u)=\frac{1}{2}(L u, u)+b(u)$, where $L u=L_{1} P_{1} u+L_{2} P_{2} u, L_{i}: E_{i} \longmapsto E_{i}$ is bounded and self-adjoint, $P_{i}$ is the projector of $E$ onto $E^{(i)}, i=1,2$;
$\left(C_{2}\right) b^{\prime}$ is compact;
$\left(C_{3}\right)$ there exist a subspace $\widetilde{E} \subset E$ and sets $S \subset E, Q \subset \widetilde{E}$ and constants $\widetilde{\alpha}>\omega$ such that
(i) $S \subset E_{1}$ and $\left.I\right|_{S} \geq \widetilde{\alpha}$;
(ii) $Q$ is bounded and $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq \omega$;
(iii) $S$ and $\partial Q$ link.

Then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ given by

$$
c=\inf _{g \in \Gamma} \sup _{u \in Q} I(g(1, u))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma \equiv\left\{g \in C([0,1] \times E, E): g \text { satisfies }\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)-\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right\}
$$

$\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) g(0, u)=u ;$
$\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) g(t, u)=u$ for $u \in \partial Q$;
$\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) g(t, u)=e^{\theta(t, u) L} u+\chi(t, u)$, where $\theta(t, u) \in C([0,1] \times E, R)$ and $\chi$ is compact.

## 3. Proof of the Main Results

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, the following result in [8, p. 36, Proposition 6.6] will be used.

Proposition 3.1. There is a positive constant $c_{\theta}$ such that for $x \in E$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\theta}} \leq c_{\theta}\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\theta \in[1,+\infty)$.
Lemma 3.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, I satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ is a sequence such that $\left\{I\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded and $I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Then there exists a constant $d_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq d_{1}, \quad\left\|I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)\right)^{*}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)\right)^{*}$ denotes the dual space of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$.
We first prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Since $x_{n} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, we have $x_{n}=x_{n}^{0}+x_{n}^{+}+x_{n}^{-} \in E^{0} \oplus E^{+} \oplus E^{-}$.

From $\left(F_{2}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)$ and (2.8)-(2.10), noting Remark 1, there exist two positive constants $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 d_{1} \geq 2 I\left(x_{n}\right)-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)-2 F\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right] d t= \\
&=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)-\nu F\left(t, x_{n}\right)+(\nu-2) F\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right] d t \geq \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[d_{2}(\nu-2)\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\nu}-d_{3}\right] d t \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\nu} d t \leq \frac{2 d_{1}+2 \pi d_{3}}{d_{2}(\nu-2)}=\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Arguing indirectly, we suppose $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is unbounded. Then we have $\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}} \rightarrow \infty$. Note $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{0}\right)<+\infty$, and this implies that there are constants $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\nu}} \leq\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq b_{2}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\nu}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\nu}} \geq\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\nu}} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\nu} d t \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{0}(t)\right|^{\nu} d t \longrightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { as } \quad\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Therefore there exists a constant $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widetilde{M}_{1}^{*} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 d_{1} \geq 2 I\left(x_{n}\right)-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right\rangle= \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)-2 F\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right] d t \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1-\frac{2}{\nu}\right)\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right) d t \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies from $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}_{2}^{*}=\frac{2 \nu d_{1}}{(\nu-2)} \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right) d t \geq \frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\infty}} \leq \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not, by passing to a subsequence, without the loss of generality, assume that there exist $t_{n}$ and $\widetilde{t}_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|x_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=M_{n}^{*}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n}^{*}=\infty, \quad\left|x_{n}\left(\widetilde{t}_{n}\right)\right|=\frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi}
$$

where $\widetilde{M}_{4}^{*} \geq 2$ is a constant such that $\frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi} \geq 1$, and $\frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi} \leq\left|x_{n}(t)\right| \leq$ $M_{n}^{*}$ for $t \in\left(\widetilde{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right) \subseteq[0,2 \pi]$. (In fact, suppose we cannot find a $\widetilde{t}_{n}$ such that $\left|x_{n}\left(\widetilde{t}_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi}$. Then from (3.4) we have $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\nu} d t \geq$ $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right| d t \geq \widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}$, a contradiction.)

From $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$, noting Remark 2, for any $\widetilde{\varepsilon}>0$, there exists a constant $\widetilde{d}_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x)| \leq \widetilde{\varepsilon}|x|+\widetilde{d}_{4}, \quad \forall|x|<1, \quad \text { uniformly in } t \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\Lambda_{n}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\dot{x_{n}}\left(s+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s
$$

We have from (2.11) and (3.2) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{n}=0$.
Hence, by the periodicity of $x_{n}(t)$ and $f\left(t, x_{n}(t)\right)$ with respect to $t$, (3.10) and (3.12), there exists a constant $d_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}^{*}-\frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi} & =\left|x_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|-\left|x_{n}\left(\widetilde{t}_{n}\right)\right|=\int_{\widetilde{t}_{n}}^{t_{n}} \frac{d}{d s}\left|x_{n}(s)\right| d s \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\tilde{t}_{n}}^{t_{n}}\left|\dot{x_{n}}(s)\right| d s \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\dot{x_{n}}(s)\right| d s=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\dot{x_{n}}\left(s+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right| d s= \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\dot{x_{n}}\left(s+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)+f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s \leq \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\dot{x_{n}}\left(s+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left[\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s+\int_{\left|x_{n}\right|<1}\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s\right]+\Lambda_{n} \leq \\
& \leq\left(a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*}+d_{4}\right)+\Lambda_{n} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}, d_{4}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{2}^{*}$ are constants independent on $n$. However, we have $\Lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $M_{n}^{*} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which leads to a contradictions. Hence there exist two positive constants $\ell, \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\infty}} \leq\left(a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*}+d_{4}\right)+\ell+\frac{\widetilde{M}_{0}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{4}^{*}}{2 \pi}=\widetilde{M}_{3}^{*} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that (3.11) holds.
Using $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),(2.9)$ and (3.11), there exists a constant $\widetilde{C}_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} & \geq\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}^{+}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)\right] d t \geq \\
& \geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}+\int_{\left|x_{n}\right|<1}\right)\left|x_{n}^{+}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t \geq \\
& \geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|x_{n}^{+}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t-\widetilde{C}_{3}  \tag{3.15}\\
\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} & \geq-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle=-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}^{-}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)\right] d t \geq \\
& \geq-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}+\int_{\left|x_{n}\right|<1}\right)\left|x_{n}^{+}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t \geq \\
& \geq-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|x_{n}^{-}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t-\widetilde{C}_{3} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.11), (3.12) and (3.15), (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq \\
\geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-2\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\infty}} \int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t-2 \widetilde{C}_{3} \geq \\
\geq \xi_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\xi_{-1}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-2 a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*}-2 \widetilde{C}_{3}, \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}$ is the smallest positive eigenvalue and $\xi_{-1}$ is the largest negative eigenvalue of the operator $A$, respectively.

From (3.8) and (3.17), there exists a positive constant $\widetilde{D}_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{D}_{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right) \geq \\
& \quad \geq\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\xi \widetilde{M}_{1}^{*}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq \\
& \quad \geq\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\xi\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2} \geq \\
& \quad \geq \xi_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\xi_{-1}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}}^{2}+ \\
& \quad \quad+\xi\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-2 a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*}-2 \widetilde{C}_{3} \geq \\
& \quad \geq \xi\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-2 a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*}-2 \widetilde{C}_{3}, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

here $\xi=\min \left\{\xi_{1},-\xi_{-1}\right\}$. We have from (3.18) that

$$
\xi\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\widetilde{D}_{2}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}-2 a_{1} \widetilde{M}_{2}^{*} \widetilde{M}_{3}^{*}-2 \widetilde{C}_{3}<0 .
$$

This implies that $\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Going, if necessary, to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $x \in E_{k}$ such that $x_{k_{n}} \rightharpoonup x$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$, which implies $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ uniformly on $[0,2 \pi]$. Hence $\left(I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}(x)\right)\left(x_{n}-x\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Set

$$
\Phi=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(f\left(t, x_{n}(t)\right)-f(t, x(t)), x_{n}(t)-x(t)\right) d t
$$

It is easy to check that $\Phi \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Moreover, an easy computation shows that

$$
\left(I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}(x)\right)\left(x_{n}-x\right)=\left\langle A\left(x_{n}-x\right),\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right\rangle-\Phi .
$$

By (2.5), (2.8) and (2.10), this implies $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof will be divided into two steps.
Step 1. Choose $q>2$. By $\left(H_{1}\right)$, for any $\widehat{\varepsilon}>0$, there exists $\widehat{M}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leq \widehat{\varepsilon}|x|^{2}+\widehat{M}|x|^{q}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times R^{n} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.19), for $x \in E_{1}=E^{+}$, there exists a positive constant $c_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x) d t \geq \frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}\|x\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{2}}^{2}+\widehat{M}\|x\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{q}}^{q}\right) \geq \\
& \geq \frac{\xi_{1}}{2}\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-c_{q}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\widehat{M}\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{q}\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\widehat{\varepsilon}=\frac{\xi_{1}}{8 c_{q}}, \rho=\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{8 c_{p} \widehat{M}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}$ and denote by $B_{\rho}$ the closed ball in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ of radius $\rho$ centered at the origin. Let $S=\partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{1}$, then $I(x) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}=\frac{\xi_{1} \rho^{2}}{4}$ for all $x \in S$, and $\left(C_{3}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ of Lemma 2.5 holds.
Step 2. Let $e \in E^{+}$with $\|e\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}=1$ and $E_{2}=E^{-} \oplus E^{0}, Q=$ $E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus \operatorname{span}\{e\}$.

For $x=x^{0}+x^{-} \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x+\gamma e) & =\frac{1}{2}\langle A(x+\gamma e),(x+\gamma e)\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t= \\
& =\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\langle A e, e\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A x^{-}, x^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(H_{1}\right)$, it is clear that $I(x) \leq 0$ on $x \in E_{2}$. Since $E^{0}$ is finite dimensional, there exists $\widehat{b}_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|e\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widehat{b}_{1}\|e\|_{L^{2}}, \quad\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widehat{b}_{1}\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x^{0} \in E^{0}$. Moreover, by $\left(H_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq \widehat{b}_{1}^{2}|x|^{2}-\widehat{b}_{2}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times R^{n} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from (3.23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, \gamma e+x) d t & \geq \widehat{b}_{1}^{2}\|\gamma e+x\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\widehat{b}_{2} 2 \pi \geq \\
& \geq \widehat{b}_{1}^{2}\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\|e\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)-\widehat{b}_{2} 2 \pi \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.10) and (3.24), for all $\gamma>0$ and $x \in E_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x & +\gamma e) \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle A(x+\gamma e),(x+\gamma e)\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\langle A e, e\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A x^{-}, x^{-}\right\rangle-\|A\|\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)+\widehat{b}_{2} 2 \pi \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\|A\| \gamma^{2}}{2}+\frac{\xi_{-1}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\|A\|\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)+\widehat{b}_{2} 2 \pi \leq \\
& \leq-\frac{\|A\| \gamma^{2}}{2}+\frac{\xi_{-1}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\widehat{b}_{2} 2 \pi . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\gamma_{1}=2 \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{b}_{2} \pi}{\|A\|}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{2}=2 \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{b}_{2} \pi}{-\xi_{-1}}}
$$

Then $I(x+\gamma e) \leq 0$ if either $\gamma \geq \gamma_{1}$, or $\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq \gamma_{2}$. Consequently, $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$, where $Q=\left\{\gamma e ; \gamma \in\left[0, \gamma_{1}\right]\right\} \oplus\left(B_{\gamma_{2}} \cap E_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.5, $S$ and $\partial Q$ link and $\left(C_{3}\right)($ ii $)$ and $\left(C_{3}\right)($ iii $)$ of Lemma 2.5 hold.

From $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}\right)$ of Lemma 2.5 are true, so by Lemma 2.5, I has a nonconstant critical point $x^{*}$ such that $I\left(x^{*}\right) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}>0$. Now $x^{*}$ is a $2 \pi$-solution of (2.2), hence $x^{*}$ is a $4 \tau$-solution of (1.1).

Lemma 3.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, I satisfies the (PS) condition.
Proof. We have from $\left(F_{2}\right),(2.8)-(2.10)$ and (1.3) of $\left(V_{4}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 d_{1} & \geq 2 I\left(x_{n}\right)-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(x_{n}, f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)-2 F\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right] d t \geq \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[c_{1}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\beta}-c_{2}\right] d t \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\beta} d t \leq \frac{2 d_{1}+2 \pi c_{2}}{c_{1}}=\widetilde{M}_{0} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Arguing indirectly, we suppose $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is unbounded. Then we have $\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{0}\right)<+\infty$, and this implies that there are constants $\widetilde{b}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{b}_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{b}_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\beta}} \leq\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widetilde{b}_{2}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\beta}} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\beta}} \geq\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L_{2 \pi}^{\beta}} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from (3.27) and (3.29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}_{0} \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{\beta} d t \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{0}(t)\right|^{\beta} d t \longrightarrow+\infty \text { as }\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Therefore there exists a constant $\widetilde{M}_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widetilde{M}_{1} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{\beta-1}{\beta(\lambda-1)}$, then

$$
\begin{cases}1<\lambda<1+\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}, & 0<\frac{(\lambda \alpha-1)}{\alpha}<1,  \tag{3.32}\\ \lambda \alpha-1=\alpha-\frac{1}{\beta}, & \alpha>1 .\end{cases}
$$

Using (3.1) and (3.32), we have (here $\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\sigma}=1$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{+}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t \geq \\
\geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{+}\right|^{\sigma} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \geq \\
\geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} c_{\sigma}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)},  \tag{3.33}\\
\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle \geq-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{-}\right|\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right| d t \geq \\
\geq-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}^{-}\right|^{\sigma} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \geq \\
\geq-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle-\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} c_{\sigma}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} . \tag{3.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (1.4) of $\left(V_{4}\right)$ and (3.1), there exist two constants $\widetilde{C}_{1}>0$ and $\widetilde{C}_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left.\left|c_{3}\right| x_{n}\right|^{\lambda}+c_{4}\right]^{\alpha} d t \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c_{3}^{\alpha}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\lambda \alpha} d t+\widetilde{C}_{1} \leq \\
& \quad \leq c_{3}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\beta} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}\right|^{(\lambda \alpha-1) \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} d t\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}+\widetilde{C}_{1}= \\
& \quad=c_{3}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\beta} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right| \geq 1}\left|x_{n}\right|^{(\lambda \alpha-1) \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} d t\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+ \\
& \quad+c_{3}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right|<1}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\beta} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(\int_{\left|x_{n}\right|<1}\left|x_{n}\right|^{(\lambda \alpha-1) \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} d t\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq \\
& \leq c_{3}^{\alpha}\left(c_{\frac{\beta(\lambda \alpha-1)}{\beta-1}}\right)^{\lambda \alpha-1}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{n}\right|^{\beta} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{\lambda \alpha-1}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+\widetilde{C}_{2} . \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.27) and (3.33)-(3.35), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq\left\langle A x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{+}\right\rangle-\left\langle A x_{n}^{-}, x_{n}^{-}\right\rangle- \\
-\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} c_{\sigma}\left(\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right) \geq \\
\geq \xi_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\xi_{-1}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}- \\
\quad-2 c_{\sigma}\left[\widetilde{D}_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{\lambda \alpha-1}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}, \tag{3.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{D}_{0}=c_{3}^{\alpha}\left(c_{\frac{\beta(\lambda \alpha-1)}{\beta-1}}\right)^{\lambda \alpha-1}\left(\widetilde{M}_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} .
$$

From (3.31) and (3.36), there exists a positive constant $\widetilde{D}_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{D}_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right) \geq \\
& \quad \geq\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\xi \widetilde{M}_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\xi\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2} \geq \\
& \geq \xi_{1}\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\xi_{-1}\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\xi\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}- \\
& \quad-2 c_{\sigma}\left[\widetilde{D}_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \geq \\
& \quad \geq \xi\left(\left\|x_{n}^{+}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|x_{n}^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|x_{n}^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}\right)- \\
& \quad \quad-2 c_{\sigma}\left[\widetilde{D}_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} . \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.37), we have

$$
\widetilde{D}_{1} \geq \xi\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}-2 c_{\sigma}\left[\widetilde{D}_{0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{\lambda \alpha-1}+\widetilde{C}_{1}+\widetilde{C}_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

Since $0<\frac{(\lambda \alpha-1)}{\alpha}<1$, this implies that $\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Using an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof will be divided into two steps.
Step 1. By $\left(V_{2}\right),\left(V_{3}\right)$ and (1.4) of $\left(V_{4}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $M=$ $M(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leq \varepsilon|x|^{2}+M|x|^{\lambda+1}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times R^{n} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.38), for $x \in E_{1}=E^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(x)=\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x) d t \geq \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\xi_{1}}{2}\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}-\left(\varepsilon\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+c_{\lambda+1} M\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{\lambda+1}\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon=\frac{\xi_{1}}{8}, \rho=\left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{8 M c_{\lambda+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda-1}}$ and denote by $B_{\rho}$ the closed ball in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)$ of radius $\rho$ centered at the origin. Let $S=\partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{1}$, then $I(x) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}=\frac{\xi_{1} \rho^{2}}{4}$ for all $x \in S$, and $\left(C_{3}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ of Lemma 2.5 holds.
Step 2. Let $e \in E^{+}$with $\|e\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}}=1$ and $E_{2}=E^{-} \oplus E^{0}$.
For $x=x^{0}+x^{+} \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x+\gamma e) & =\frac{1}{2}\langle A(x+\gamma e),(x+\gamma e)\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t= \\
& =\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\langle A e, e\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A x^{-}, x^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t . \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(V_{1}\right)$, it is obvious that $I(x) \leq 0$ on $x \in E_{2}$. Since $E^{0}$ is finite dimensional, there exists $\widehat{a}_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|e\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widehat{a}_{1}\|e\|_{L^{2}}, \\
& \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)} \leq \widehat{a}_{1}\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x^{0} \in E^{0}$. Moreover, by $\left(V_{2}\right)$ and $\left(V_{3}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $\widehat{a}_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq \widehat{a}_{1}^{2}|x|^{2}-\widehat{a}_{2}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0, \pi] \times R^{n} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.42) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, \gamma e+x) d t & \geq \widehat{a}_{1}^{2}\|\gamma e+x\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\widehat{a}_{2} 2 \pi \geq \\
& \geq \widehat{a}_{1}^{2}\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\|e\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)-\widehat{a}_{2} 2 \pi \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.43), for all $\gamma>0$ and $x \in E_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(x+\gamma e) \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle A(x+\gamma e),(x+\gamma e)\rangle-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t, x+\gamma e) d t \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\langle A e, e\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A x^{-}, x^{-}\right\rangle-\|A\|\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)+\widehat{a}_{2} 2 \pi \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\leq \frac{\|A\| \gamma^{2}}{2}+\frac{\xi_{-}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}}^{2}-\|A\|\left(\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)+\widehat{a}_{2} 2 \pi \leq \\
\leq-\frac{\|A\| \gamma^{2}}{2}+\frac{\xi_{-}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2}+\widehat{a}_{2} 2 \pi . \tag{3.44}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\gamma_{1}=2 \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{a}_{2} \pi}{\|A\|}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \widehat{a}_{2} \pi}{-\xi_{-}}}
$$

Then $I(x+\gamma e) \leq 0$, if either $\gamma \geq \gamma_{1}$, or $\|x\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S^{1}, R^{n}\right)}^{2} \geq \gamma_{2}$. Consequently, $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$, where $Q=\left\{\gamma e ; \gamma \in\left[0, \gamma_{1}\right]\right\} \oplus\left(B_{\gamma_{2}} \cap E_{2}\right)$. By the definition of linking, $S$ and $\partial Q$ link and $\left(C_{3}\right)($ ii $)$ and $\left(C_{3}\right)($ iii $)$ of Lemma 2.5 hold.

From $\left(V_{2}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right),\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}\right)$ of Lemma 2.5 are true, thus by Lemma 2.5, $I$ has a nonconstant critical point $x^{*}$ such that $I\left(x^{*}\right) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}>0$. Now $x^{*}$ is a $2 \pi$-solution of (2.2), hence $x^{*}$ is a $4 \tau$-solution of (1.1).
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