Manabu Naito

## A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF SLOWLY GROWING POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO SECOND ORDER QUASILINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Abstract. In this paper the second order quasilinear ordinary differential equations are considered, and a sufficient condition for the existence of a slowly growing positive solution is given.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the second order quasilinear ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t)|x|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} x=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive constants and $p(t)$ is a positive and continuous function on an interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. By a solution of (1.1) we mean a realvalued function $x=x(t)$ such that $x \in C^{1}[T, \infty), T \geq t_{0}$, and $\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime} \in$ $C^{1}[T, \infty)$ and $x(t)$ satisfies (1.1) at every point of $[T, \infty)$, where $T$ may depend on $x(t)$. A solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if there is a sequence $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} t_{i}=\infty$ and $x\left(t_{i}\right)=0(i=1,2, \ldots)$. If a solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is not oscillatory, then it is said to be nonoscillatory. In other words, a solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is called nonoscillatory if $x(t)$ is eventually positive or eventually negative. If $x(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), then so is $-x(t)$. Therefore there is no loss of generality in assuming that a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) is eventually positive.

It is easily shown (Elbert [2], Elbert and Kusano [3]) that an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) satisfies one and only one of the following three conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \text { exists and is a positive finite number; }  \tag{1.2}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=\infty \text { and } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{t}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{t} \text { exists and is a positive finite number. } \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

A solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) which satisfies (1.2) [resp. (1.4)] is asymptotically equal to a positive constant function $c$ [resp. a linear function $c t]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $c>0$. The asymptotic growth of a solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) which satisfies (1.3) is asymptotically bigger than positive constant functions, and is asymptotically smaller than positive unbounded linear functions. In this paper we refer to eventually positive solutions $x(t)$ satisfying (1.3) as slowly growing positive solutions. Eventually positive solutions $x(t)$ satisfying (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) are sometimes called subdominant solutions, intermediate solutions and dominant solutions, respectively ([1]).

It is well known that the following results hold ([2], [3], [7], [8]).
(A) Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying (1.2) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) d s\right]^{1 / \alpha} d t<\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(B) Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying (1.4) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} t^{\beta} p(t) d t<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C) Let $\alpha<\beta$. Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution if and only if (1.5) is satisfied.
(D) Let $\alpha>\beta$. Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution if and only if (1.6) is satisfied.
Now consider the problem of the existence of an eventually positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying (1.3), namely, a slowly growing positive solution. For the case $\alpha>\beta$ this problem has been solved finally by Naito [9]. The following statement is true:
(E) Let $\alpha>\beta$. Equation (1.1) has a slowly growing positive solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} t^{\beta} p(t) d t<\infty \text { and } \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) d s\right]^{1 / \alpha} d t=\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, the statement (E) was proved by Kusano and Naito [6] for the case $\alpha=1>\beta$. The " if " part of ( E ) for the general case $\alpha>\beta$ was proved by Elbert and Kusano [3]. Very recently, the "only if" part of (E) for the general case $\alpha>\beta$ has been proved by Naito [9].

A characterization of the existence of slowly growing positive solutions of (1.1) for the case $\alpha<\beta$ seems to be a more difficult problem. For some results related to this case, see Cecchi, Došlá and Marini [1] and the references therein.

In this paper we attempt to discuss the existence of slowly growing positive solutions of (1.1) for the case $\alpha<\beta$. For this purpose, let us first consider the particular equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\kappa t^{-\mu}|x|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} x=0 \quad(\alpha<\beta) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a positive constant and $\mu$ is a real constant. It is easy to see that (1.8) has a slowly growing positive solution of the form $c t^{\nu}(c>0$, $0<\nu<1$ ) if and only if $\alpha+1<\mu<\beta+1$, and that this solution is uniquely determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(t)=c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}=\frac{\mu-1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} \text { and } c_{0}=\left[\frac{\alpha\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}^{\alpha}}{\kappa}\right]^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe here that $0<\nu_{0}<1$ under the conditions $\alpha<\beta$ and $\alpha+1<\mu<$ $\beta+1$. Then we may conjecture that if $p(t)$ is close to the function $\kappa t^{-\mu}$
( $\kappa>0, \alpha+1<\mu<\beta+1$ ) in some sense, then (1.1) has a slowly growing positive solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}x(t)=x_{0}(t)(1+o(1)) & (t \rightarrow \infty)  \tag{1.11}\\ x^{\prime}(t)=x_{0}^{\prime}(t)(1+o(1)) & (t \rightarrow \infty)\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{0}(t)$ is defined by (1.9) and (1.10). This conjecture is true to a certain extent. In fact, the following theorem can be proved. For convenience, we write the equation (1.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\kappa t^{-\mu}(1+\varepsilon(t))|x|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} x=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ is a continuous function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>0$, such that $1+\varepsilon(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$.

Theorem 1.1. Consider the equation (1.12) under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\alpha<\beta, \quad \alpha+1<\mu<\beta+1, \quad \kappa>0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $x_{0}(t)=c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}$, where $c_{0}$ and $\nu_{0}$ are constants given by (1.10). Suppose that there exists $\ell>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left(\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1\right)-|1-\alpha|\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \ell-(\beta-\alpha)\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}>0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(s)| d s=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation (1.12) has a slowly growing positive solution $x(t)$ with the asymptotic property

$$
\begin{cases}x(t)=x_{0}(t)\left(1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right)\right) & (t \rightarrow \infty) \\ x^{\prime}(t)=x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\left(1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right)\right) & (t \rightarrow \infty)\end{cases}
$$

The condition (1.14) is satisfied if $\ell>0$ is taken sufficiently large. Therefore, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{m} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(s)| d s=0 \text { for all } m>0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is $\ell_{0}>0$ such that for all $\ell \geq \ell_{0}$, both of the conditions (1.14) and (1.15) are satisfied. On the other hand, it is easy to see that (1.16) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} s^{n}|\varepsilon(s)| d s<\infty \text { for all } n>0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can conclude the following result as a corollary of Theorem 1.1.
Corollary 1.1. Consider the equation (1.12) under the condition (1.13). If (1.17) holds, then the equation (1.12) has a slowly growing positive solution $x(t)$ with the asymptotic property (1.11).

We give a simple example illustrating our theorem in the case $\alpha=1$.

Example 1.1. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\kappa t^{-3}(1+\varepsilon(t))|x|^{3} \operatorname{sgn} x=0, \quad \kappa>0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ is a continuous function on $[1, \infty)$ such that $1+\varepsilon(t)>0$ for $t \geq 1$. For this equation, $\alpha=1, \beta=3, \mu=3, \kappa>0$; and hence $\nu_{0}=1 / 2$ and $c_{0}=1 /[2 \sqrt{\kappa}]$. Consequently, the conditions (1.14) and (1.15) reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell>\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \text { and } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\ell} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{|\varepsilon(s)|}{s} d s=0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, we can conclude that if (1.19) is satisfied for some $\ell$, then (1.18) has a slowly growing positive solution $x(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}x(t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\kappa}} t^{1 / 2}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right)\right) & (t \rightarrow \infty) \\ x^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\kappa}} t^{-1 / 2}\left(1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right)\right) & (t \rightarrow \infty)\end{cases}
$$

In the case $0<\alpha<\beta$, assuming the existence of slowly growing positive solutions of (1.1), Kamo and Usami [4] have obtained the asymptotic forms as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of such solutions under a certain condition. Note, however, that the existence of slowly growing positive solutions of (1.1) is not proved.

In the case $0<\beta<\alpha$, the asymptotic forms as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of slowly growing positive solutions of (1.1) has been discussed by Naito [9]. See also [4], [5].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. First notice that if $x(t)$ is a positive solution of (1.1) on an interval $[T, \infty), T \geq t_{0}$, then $x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T$. This fact is easily checked. For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we make use of the following lemma. In this lemma we consider the equations (1.1) and the auxiliary equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0}(t)|x|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} x=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}(t)$ is a positive continuous function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>0$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $x_{0}(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of the auxiliary equation (2.1). If $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\frac{x(t)}{x_{0}(t)} \text { and } v(t)=x_{0}(t)^{2}\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_{0}(t)}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)>0 \text { and } \frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v(t)+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all large $t$, and $(u(t), v(t))$ is a solution of the binary nonlinear system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)^{2}} v  \tag{2.4}\\
v^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\{p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1} u-\right. \\
& \left.-p(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1}\left[\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u\right]^{-\alpha+1} u^{\beta}\right\}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for all large $t$.
Conversely, if $(u(t), v(t))$ is a solution of (2.4) satisfying (2.3), then $x(t)=x_{0}(t) u(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1).

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). By (2.2), we have

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v(t)+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t) .
$$

Since $x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all large $t$, it is obvious that $(u(t), v(t))$ satisfies (2.3) for all large $t$. Moreover, $x(t)$ satisfies

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{\alpha} p(t) x(t)^{\beta} x^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1}=0
$$

for all large $t$. An analogous equality also holds for $x_{0}(t)$. Then we easily see that $(u(t), v(t))$ satisfies (2.4) for all large $t$. This proves the first half of the lemma.

To prove the second half, let $(u(t), v(t))$ be a solution of (2.4) satisfying (2.3). Then, a straightforward computation shows that $x(t)=x_{0}(t) u(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). The details are left to the reader. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We apply Lemma 2.1 to the case $p_{0}(t)=\kappa t^{-\mu}$ and $x_{0}(t)=c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}$, where $c_{0}$ and $\nu_{0}$ are constants given by (1.10). Then the existence of a solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) which satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[x(t) / x_{0}(t)\right]=1$ is equivalent to the existence of a solution $(u(t), v(t))$ of (2.4) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v(t)+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all large $t$. Thus it is natural to consider the integral equation of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u(t)= & 1-\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_{0}(s)^{2}} v(s) d s  \tag{2.7}\\
v(t)= & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left\{p_{0}(s) x_{0}(s)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(s)^{-\alpha+1} u(s)-\right. \\
& \left.-p(s) x_{0}(s)^{\beta+1}\left[\frac{1}{x_{0}(s)} v(s)+x_{0}^{\prime}(s) u(s)\right]^{-\alpha+1} u(s)^{\beta}\right\} d s
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $p(t)=p_{0}(t)(1+\varepsilon(t))=\kappa t^{-\mu}(1+\varepsilon(t))$.
Denote by $X$ the set of all vector functions $(u(t), v(t)) \in C[T, \infty) \times$ $C[T, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)-1| \leq L t^{-\ell} \text { and }|v(t)| \leq M t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1} \text { for } t \geq T, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell$ is a positive constant satisfying (1.14) and (1.15), and $L, M, T$ are positive constants to be determined later. Note that, because of $\ell>0$, the condition (1.14) implies $\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1>0$. We seek for a solution $(u(t), v(t))$ of (2.7) in the set $X$.

On account of (1.14), we can take a sufficiently small positive number $d$ such that $0<d<1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell\left(\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1\right)-|1-\alpha|\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \ell(1-2 d)^{-\alpha}(1+d)^{\beta}- \\
&-(\beta-\alpha)\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}(1+d)>0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $M$ be an arbitrary positive number, and set $L=M /\left(\ell c_{0}^{2}\right)(>0)$. Then, by (2.9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{L}{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1} c_{0}^{2}(\beta-\alpha)\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}(1+d)+ \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{M}{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1}|1-\alpha|\left(1-\nu_{0}\right)(1-2 d)^{-\alpha}(1+d)^{\beta}<M
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity, let us use the letters $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to denote, respectively, the first and the second terms in the left-hand side of the above inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{L}{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1} c_{0}^{2}(\beta-\alpha)\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}(1+d)(>0) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{M}{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1}|1-\alpha|\left(1-\nu_{0}\right)(1-2 d)^{-\alpha}(1+d)^{\beta} \quad(\geq 0) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $C_{1}+C_{2}<M$. Further, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}=D c_{0}^{2}\left(1-\nu_{0}\right) \nu_{0}(1+d)^{\beta} \quad(>0) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the positive constant defined by

$$
D= \begin{cases}(1+2 d)^{-\alpha+1} & \text { for } 0<\alpha \leq 1  \tag{2.13}\\ (1-2 d)^{-\alpha+1} & \text { for } \alpha>1\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \frac{u-u^{-\alpha+\beta+1}}{u-1}=\alpha-\beta,
$$

there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u-u^{-\alpha+\beta+1}\right| \leq(1+d)(\beta-\alpha)|u-1| \text { for }|u-1| \leq \delta \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take a number T sufficiently large so that the following inequalities hold for $t \geq T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L t^{-\ell} \leq d, \quad \frac{M}{c_{0}^{2} \nu_{0}} t^{-\ell} \leq d, \quad L t^{-\ell} \leq \delta \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3} t^{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(s)| d s \leq M \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the inequality $C_{1}+C_{2}<M$ and the assumption (1.15) ensure the inequality (2.16).

Let $X$ be the set of all vector functions $(u(t), v(t)) \in C[T, \infty) \times C[T, \infty)$ such that (2.8) holds. Define the operator $\Phi: X \rightarrow C[T, \infty) \times C[T, \infty)$ by $\Phi(u, v)(t)=\left(\Phi_{1}(u, v)(t), \Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right)$ with

$$
\Phi_{1}(u, v)(t)=1-\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_{0}(s)^{2}} v(s) d s, \quad t \geq T
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)=-\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left\{p_{0}(s) x_{0}(s)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(s)^{-\alpha+1} u(s)-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-p(s) x_{0}(s)^{\beta+1}\left[\frac{1}{x_{0}(s)} v(s)+x_{0}^{\prime}(s) u(s)\right]^{-\alpha+1} u(s)^{\beta}\right\} d s, t \geq T
\end{aligned}
$$

It will be shown with the aid of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem that $\Phi$ has a fixed point $(u(t), v(t))$ in $X(\subset C[T, \infty) \times C[T, \infty))$. Here, the space $C[T, \infty) \times C[T, \infty)$ is regarded as the Fréchet space consisting of all continuous vector functions $(u(t), v(t))$ on $[T, \infty)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subintervals of $[T, \infty)$.
(i) The operator $\Phi$ is well defined on $X$ and maps $X$ into $X$.

Let $(u(t), v(t)) \in X$. Then, by the first inequality in (2.15), we obtain $|u(t)-1| \leq L t^{-\ell} \leq d$ for $t \geq T$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0<) 1-d \leq u(t) \leq 1+d, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)}|v(t)|+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t) \geq(1-2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)}|v(t)|+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t) \leq(1+2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, it follows from (2.17) and the second inequality in (2.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)}|v(t)|+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t) & \geq-\frac{1}{c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}} M t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1}+c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}(1-d)= \\
& =(1-d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}\left\{1-\frac{M}{(1-d) c_{0}^{2} \nu_{0}} t^{-\ell}\right\} \geq \\
& \geq(1-d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}\left(1-\frac{d}{1-d}\right)= \\
& =(1-2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}, \quad t \geq T
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that (2.18) holds. The inequality (2.19) can be shown in a similar way.

Now let us define $y(t)$ by

$$
y(t)=\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v(t)+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t), \quad t \geq T
$$

Then it follows from (2.18) and (2.19) that

$$
(1-2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1} \leq y(t) \leq(1+2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}, \quad t \geq T
$$

In particular, we have $y(t)>0$ for $t \geq T$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)^{-\alpha+1} \leq D c_{0}^{-\alpha+1} \nu_{0}^{-\alpha+1} t^{\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)(-\alpha+1)}, t \geq T \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the positive constant defined by (2.13).
For brevity, we define $\varphi_{1}(u, v)(t)$ and $\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(u, v)(t)= & \frac{1}{x_{0}(t)^{2}} v(t) \\
\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)= & p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)- \\
& \quad-p(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1}\left[\frac{1}{x_{0}(t)} v(t)+x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right]^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}(u, v)(t)=1-\int_{t}^{\infty} \varphi_{1}(u, v)(s) d s, \quad t \geq T \\
& \Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)=-\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty} \varphi_{2}(u, v)(s) d s, \quad t \geq T
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{1}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{x_{0}(t)^{2}}|v(t)| \leq M\left(c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{-2} t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1}=L \ell t^{-\ell-1} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq T$. Thus, $\Phi_{1}(u, v)(t)$ is well defined on $X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{1}(u, v)(t)-1\right| \leq L \ell \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{-\ell-1} d s=L t^{-\ell}, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p(t)=p_{0}(t)(1+\varepsilon(t))$, the function $\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq \\
& \leq\left|p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)-p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{-\alpha+\beta+1}\right|+ \\
& \quad+\mid p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{-\alpha+\beta+1}- \\
& \quad \quad-p_{0}(t)(1+\varepsilon(t)) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} y(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{\beta} \mid \leq \\
& \quad \leq p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1}\left|u(t)-u(t)^{-\alpha+\beta+1}\right|+ \\
& \quad+p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1}\left|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right]^{-\alpha+1}-y(t)^{-\alpha+1}\right| u(t)^{\beta}+ \\
& \quad+p_{0}(t)|\varepsilon(t)| x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} y(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the first, second and third term of the last side in the above inequality by $\psi_{1}(u, v)(t), \psi_{2}(u, v)(t)$ and $\psi_{3}(u, v)(t)$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq \psi_{1}(u, v)(t)+\psi_{2}(u, v)(t)+\psi_{3}(u, v)(t), \quad t \geq T . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.8) and (2.15), we get $|u(t)-1| \leq L t^{-\ell} \leq \delta$ for $t \geq T$. Therefore, it follows from (2.14) that

$$
\left|u(t)-u(t)^{-\alpha+\beta+1}\right| \leq L(1+d)(\beta-\alpha) t^{-\ell}, \quad t \geq T
$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1}(u, v)(t) & =p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} x_{0}^{\prime}(t)^{-\alpha+1}\left|u(t)-u(t)^{-\alpha+\beta+1}\right| \leq \\
& \leq \kappa t^{-\mu}\left(c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{\beta+1}\left(c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}\right)^{-\alpha+1} L(1+d)(\beta-\alpha) t^{-\ell}= \\
& =\alpha\left(\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1\right) C_{1} t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-2}, \quad t \geq T
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the constant given by (2.10).
The mean value theorem implies that if $A>0$ and $A+B>0$, then the equality

$$
A^{-\alpha+1}-(A+B)^{-\alpha+1}=(\alpha-1)(A+\theta B)^{-\alpha} B
$$

holds for some $\theta, 0<\theta<1$. Applying the above equality to the cases $A=x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)>0$ and $B=x_{0}(t)^{-1} v(t)$, and noting that $A+B=y(t)>0$,
we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right]^{-\alpha+1}-y(t)^{-\alpha+1}\right|= \\
& \quad=|\alpha-1|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)+\theta x_{0}(t)^{-1} v(t)\right]^{-\alpha} x_{0}(t)^{-1}|v(t)| \leq \\
& \quad \leq|\alpha-1|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)-x_{0}(t)^{-1}|v(t)|\right]^{-\alpha} x_{0}(t)^{-1}|v(t)|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq T$. Then, by (2.18) and (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right]^{-\alpha+1}-y(t)^{-\alpha+1}\right| \leq \\
& \quad \leq|\alpha-1|\left[(1-2 d) c_{0} \nu_{0} t^{\nu_{0}-1}\right]^{-\alpha}\left(c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{-1} M t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1}= \\
& \quad=|\alpha-1|(1-2 d)^{-\alpha} c_{0}^{-\alpha-1} \nu_{0}^{-\alpha} M t^{-\alpha\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)-\ell+\nu_{0}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq T$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2}(u, v)(t)= & p_{0}(t) x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1}\left|\left[x_{0}^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right]^{-\alpha+1}-y(t)^{-\alpha+1}\right| u(t)^{\beta} \leq \\
\leq & \kappa t^{-\mu}\left(c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{\beta+1}|\alpha-1|(1-2 d)^{-\alpha} c_{0}^{-\alpha-1} \nu_{0}^{-\alpha} \times \\
& \times M t^{-\alpha\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)-\ell+\nu_{0}-1}(1+d)^{\beta}= \\
= & \alpha\left(\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1\right) C_{2} t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-2}, \quad t \geq T,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{2}$ is the constant given by (2.11).
By virtue of (2.20) and (2.17), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{3}(u, v)(t) & =p_{0}(t)|\varepsilon(t)| x_{0}(t)^{\beta+1} y(t)^{-\alpha+1} u(t)^{\beta} \leq \\
& \leq \kappa t^{-\mu}|\varepsilon(t)|\left(c_{0} t^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{\beta+1} D c_{0}^{-\alpha+1} \nu_{0}^{-\alpha+1} t^{\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)(-\alpha+1)}(1+d)^{\beta}= \\
& =\alpha C_{3} t^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(t)|, \quad t \geq T,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{3}$ is the constant given by (2.12). Therefore, by the above estimates for $\psi_{1}(u, v)(t), \psi_{2}(u, v)(t)$ and $\psi_{3}(u, v)(t)$, and by (2.23), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq \alpha\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1\right) t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-2}+\alpha C_{3} t^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(t)| \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq T$. Therefore, $\Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)$ is well defined on $X$. Moreover, on account of (2.16), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right| & \leq\left(C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3} t^{\ell-2 \nu_{0}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{2\left(\nu_{0}-1\right)}|\varepsilon(s)| d s\right) t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1} \leq \\
& \leq M t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1}, \quad t \geq T
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the operator $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$ is well defined on $X$ and maps $X$ into itself. This proves the claim (i).
(ii) The operator $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$ is continuous on $X$.

Assume that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in X(n=1,2,3, \ldots),\left(u_{\infty}, v_{\infty}\right) \in X$, and that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{\infty}, v_{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact subinterval $[T, S]$ of $[T, \infty)$. The inequality (2.21) implies that, for every $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in X$,
the function $\left|\varphi_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)(t)\right|$ is bounded by the integrable function $L \ell t^{-\ell-1}$ on $[T, \infty)$. Therefore, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\Phi_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)(t) \rightarrow \Phi_{1}\left(u_{\infty}, v_{\infty}\right)(t) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on any compact subinterval $[T, S]$ of $[T, \infty)$. Similarly, using (2.24) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we see that

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)(t) \rightarrow \Phi_{2}\left(u_{\infty}, v_{\infty}\right)(t) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on any compact subinterval $[T, S]$ of $[T, \infty)$. This proves the claim (ii).
(iii) $\Phi(X)$ is relatively compact.

To prove the relative compactness of $\Phi(X)$, it is enough to show that $\Phi(X)$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on any compact subinterval $[T, S]$ of $[T, \infty)$. The former follows from the fact that the inequalities $\left|\Phi_{1}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq 1+L t^{-\ell}(t \geq T)$, which is a consequence of (2.22), and $\left|\Phi_{2}(u, v)(t)\right| \leq M t^{-\ell+2 \nu_{0}-1}(t \geq T)$ hold for all $(u, v) \in X$. The latter follows from the fact that the inequalities (2.21) and (2.24) hold for all $(u, v) \in X$.

In view of (i)-(iii), the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem shows that $\Phi$ has a fixed point $(u, v)$ in $X$. This fixed point $(u, v)=(u(t), v(t))(\in X)$ is a solution of (2.7) on $[T, \infty)$, and satisfies (2.5) and (2.6). Consequently, $(u(t), v(t))(\in X)$ is a solution of (2.4) which satisfies (2.3). Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, $x(t)=x_{0}(t) u(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.12). By the previous arguments it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{x(t)}{x_{0}(t)}=u(t)=1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x_{0}^{\prime}(t)} & =u(t)+\frac{1}{x_{0}(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)} v(t)= \\
& =u(t)+\frac{1}{c_{0}^{2} \nu_{0}} t^{-2 \nu_{0}+1} v(t)=1+O\left(t^{-\ell}\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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