



$R^{n \times m}$  is the space of all real  $n \times m$ -matrices  $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n,m}$  with the norm

$$\|X\| = \max_{j=1,\dots,m} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ij}|;$$

$$R_+^{n \times m} = \left\{ (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n,m} : x_{ij} \geq 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m) \right\}.$$

$R^n = R^{n \times 1}$  is the space of all real column  $n$ -vectors  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ ;  $R_+^n = R_+^{n \times 1}$ .

$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ ;  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol, i.e.,  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

$\overset{b}{\underset{a}{V}}(X)$  is the total variation of the matrix-function  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow R^{n \times m}$ , i.e., the sum of total variations of the latter's components.

$X(t-)$  and  $X(t+)$  are the left and the right limit of the matrix-function  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow R^{n \times m}$  at the point  $t$  (we will assume  $X(t) = X(a)$  for  $t \leq a$  and  $X(t) = X(b)$  for  $t \geq b$ , if necessary);

$$d_1 X(t) = X(t) - X(t-), \quad d_2 X(t) = X(t+) - X(t);$$

$$\|X\|_s = \sup \{ \|X(t)\| : t \in [a, b] \}.$$

$\text{BV}([a, b], R^{n \times m})$  is the set of all matrix-functions of bounded variation  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow R^{n \times m}$  (i.e., such that  $\overset{b}{\underset{a}{V}}(X) < +\infty$ );

$\text{BV}_s([a, b], R^n)$  is the normed space  $(\text{BV}([a, b], R^n), \|\cdot\|_s)$ ;

$\tilde{C}([a, b], D)$ , where  $D \subset R^{n \times m}$ , is the set of all absolutely continuous matrix-functions  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$ ;

$\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^m, D)$  is the set of all matrix-functions  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$  whose restrictions to an arbitrary closed interval  $[c, d]$  from  $[a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^m$  belong to  $\tilde{C}([c, d], D)$ .

If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are normed spaces, then an operator  $g : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  (nonlinear, in general) is positive homogeneous if  $g(\lambda x) = \lambda g(x)$  for every  $\lambda \in R_+$  and  $x \in B_1$ .

An operator  $\varphi : \text{BV}([a, b], R^n) \rightarrow R^n$  is called nondecreasing if for every  $x, y \in \text{BV}([a, b], R^n)$  such that  $x(t) \leq y(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$  the inequality  $\varphi(x)(t) \leq \varphi(y)(t)$  holds for  $t \in [a, b]$ .

A matrix-function is said to be continuous, nondecreasing, integrable, etc., if each of its components is such.

$L([a, b], D)$ , where  $D \subset R^{n \times m}$ , is the set of all measurable and integrable matrix-functions  $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$ .

If  $D_1 \subset R^n$  and  $D_2 \subset R^{n \times m}$ , then  $K([a, b] \times D_1, D_2)$  is the Carathéodory class, i.e., the set of all mappings  $F = (f_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{n,m} : [a, b] \times D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  such that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :

- a) the function  $f_{kj}(\cdot, x) : [a, b] \rightarrow D_2$  is measurable for every  $x \in D_1$ ;

- b) the function  $f_{kj}(t, \cdot) : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is continuous for almost every  $t \in [a, b]$ , and  $\sup \{|f_{kj}(\cdot, x)| : x \in D_0\} \in L([a, b], R; g_{ik})$  for every compact  $D_0 \subset D_1$ .

By a solution of the impulsive system (1), (2) we understand a continuous from the left vector-function  $x \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, R^n) \cap BV_s([-a, a], R^n)$  satisfying both the system (1) for a.e.  $t \in [-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}$  and the relation (2) for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ .

Quite a number of issues of the theory of systems of differential equations with impulsive effect (both linear and nonlinear) have been studied sufficiently well (for a survey of the results on impulsive systems see, e.g., [5]–[11], and references therein). But the above-mentioned works do not contain the results analogous to those obtained in [1]–[4] for ordinary differential equations.

Using the theory of so called generalized ordinary differential equations (see, e.g. [5], [6], [12] and references therein), we extend these results to the systems of impulsive equations.

By  $\nu(t)$  ( $-a < t \leq a$ ) we denote the number of the points  $\tau_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ) belonging to  $[-a, t[$ .

To establish the results dealing with the boundary value problems for the impulsive system (1), (2) we use the following concept.

It is easy to show that the vector-function  $x$  is a solution of the impulsive system (1), (2) if and only if it is a solution of the following system of generalized ordinary differential equations (see, e.g. [5], [6], [12] and references therein)  $dx(t) = dA(t) \cdot f(t, x(t))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &\equiv \text{diag}(a_{11}(t), \dots, a_{nn}(t)), \\ a_{ii}(t) &= \begin{cases} t & \text{for } -a \leq t \leq \tau_1, \\ t + k & \text{for } \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n); \end{cases} \\ f(\tau_k, x) &\equiv I_k(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that the matrix-function  $A$  is continuous from the left,  $d_2A(t) = 0$  if  $t \in [-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}$  and  $d_2A(\tau_k) = 1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ).

**Definition 1.** Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $-a < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_{m_0} \leq a$ . We say that the triple  $(P, \{H_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \varphi_0)$  consisting of a matrix-function  $P = (p_{il})_{i,l=1}^n \in L([-a, a], R^{n \times n})$ , a finite sequence of constant matrices  $H_k = (h_{kil})_{i,l=1}^n \in R^{n \times n}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ) and a positive homogeneous non-decreasing continuous operator  $\varphi_0 = (\varphi_{0i})_{i=1}^n : BV_s([-a, a], R_+^n) \rightarrow R_+^n$  belongs to the set  $U^{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0})$  if  $p_{il}(t) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in [-a, a]$  ( $i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $h_{kil} \geq 0$  ( $i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ), and the system

$$\sigma_i x_i'(t) \leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}(t) x_l(t) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$x_i(\tau_k+) - x_i(\tau_k-) \leq \sum_{l=1}^n h_{kil} x_l(\tau_k) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; \quad k = 1, \dots, m_0)$$

has no nontrivial nonnegative solution satisfying the condition

$$x_i(-\sigma_i a) \leq \varphi_{0i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The set  $U^{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0})$  has been introduced by I. Kiguradze for ordinary differential equations (see [1], [2]).

**Theorem 1.** *The problem (1), (2), (3) is solvable if and only if there exist continuous from the left vector-functions  $\alpha_m = (\alpha_{mi})_{i=1}^n \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, R^n) \cap BV_s([-a, a], R^n)$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) such that the conditions*

$$\alpha_1(t) \leq \alpha_2(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [-a, a]$$

and

$$(-1)^j \sigma_i \left( f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha_{ji}(t), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - \alpha'_{ji}(t) \right) \leq 0$$

$$\text{for almost every } t \in [-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0},$$

$$\alpha_1(t) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(t) \quad (j = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \dots, n);$$

$$(-1)^m \left( x_i - I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha_{mi}(\tau_k+) \right) \leq 0$$

$$\text{for } \alpha_1(\tau_k) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(\tau_k) \quad (m = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad k = 1, \dots, m_0)$$

hold, and the inequalities

$$\alpha_{1i}(-\sigma_i a) \leq \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \alpha_{2i}(-\sigma_i a) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

are fulfilled on the set

$$\left\{ (x_l)_{l=1}^n \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, R^n) \cap BV_s([-a, a], R^n), \right. \\ \left. \alpha_1(t) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(t) \text{ for } t \in [-a, a] \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let the conditions*

$$\sigma_i f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn} x_i \leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}(t) |x_l| + q_i(t)$$

$$\text{for almost every } t \in [-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\sigma_i I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn} x_i \leq \sum_{l=1}^n h_{kil} |x_l| + q_i(\tau_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (4)$$

be fulfilled on  $R^n$ , the inequalities

$$|\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \varphi_{0i}(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) + \zeta_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

be fulfilled on the set  $\tilde{C}_{loc}([-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, R^n) \cap BV_s([-a, a], R^n)$ , and let

$$\left( (p_{il})_{i,l=1}^n, \{ (h_{kil})_{i,l=1}^n \}_{k=1}^{m_0}; (\varphi_{0i})_{i=1}^n \right) \in U^{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}),$$

where  $q_i \in L([-a, a], R_+)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\zeta_i \in R_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then the problem (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

**Corollary 1.** Let the conditions (4) and

$$\sigma_i f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn} x_i \leq \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_{il}(t) |x_l| + q_i(t)$$

$$\text{for almost every } t \in [-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

be fulfilled on  $R^n$ , the inequalities

$$|\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \mu_i |x_i(s_i)| + \zeta_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

be fulfilled on the set  $\tilde{C}_{loc}([-a, a] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, R^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([-a, a], R^n)$ , and let

$$-1 < \eta_{ii} < 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\mu_i (1 + \eta_{ii})^{\nu(s_i)} \exp(\eta_{ii}(s_i + a)) < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $h_{kii} \in R$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $h_{kil}$  and  $\eta_{il} \in R_+$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m_0$ ;  $i \neq l$ ;  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\mu_i$  and  $\zeta_i \in R_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $s_i \in [-a, a]$  and  $s_i \neq -\sigma_i a$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $q_i \in L([-a, a], R_+)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let, moreover, the condition

$$g_{ii} < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix  $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$  be negative, where

$$\xi_{il} = \eta_{il}(\delta_{il} + (1 - \delta_{il})h_i) - \eta_{ii}g_{il} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$g_{il} = \mu_i(1 - \mu_i\gamma_i)^{-1}\gamma_{il}(s_i) + \gamma_{il}(a) \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$\gamma_i = (1 + \eta_{ii})^{\nu(s_i)} \exp(\eta_{ii}(s_i + a)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$\gamma_{il}(-a) = 0, \quad \gamma_{il}(t) = \left| \sum_{-a < \tau_k < t} h_{kil} \right| \text{ for } t \in ]-a, a] \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$h_i = 1 \text{ for } \mu_i \leq 1 \text{ and}$$

$$h_i = 1 + (\mu_i - 1)(1 - \mu_i\gamma_i) \text{ for } \mu_i > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then the problem (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

*Remark 1.* In the Corollary 1 as matrix-function  $C = (c_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$  we take

$$c_{il}(t) \equiv \eta_{il}t + \beta_{il}(t) \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$\beta_{il}(t) \equiv \sum_{a < \tau_k < t} h_{kil} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n).$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the Georgian National Science Foundation (Grant No. GNSF/ST06/3-002).

## REFERENCES

1. I. T. KIGURADZE, Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Current problems in mathematics. Newest results, v. 30, (Russian) 3–103, Itogi nauki i tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuzn. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1987.*
2. I. T. KIGURADZE AND B. PUŽA, On the some boundary value problems for the system of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **12** (1976), No. 12, 2139–2148.
3. A. I. PEROV AND A. V. KIBENKO, On a general method of the research of the boundary value problems. (Russian) *Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Math.* **30** (1966), No. 2, 249–264.
4. A. LASOTA AND C. OLECH, An optimal solution of Nicoletti's boundary value problem. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **18** (1966), No. 2, 131–139.
5. M. ASHORDIA, On the general and multipoint boundary value problem for linear systems of generalized ordinary differential equations, linear impulsive and linear difference systems. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **36** (2005), 1–80.
6. M. ASHORDIA, On the solvability of a multipoint boundary value problem for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **43** (2008), 143–152.
7. V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, D. D. BAĀNOV, AND P. S. SIMEONOV, Theory of impulsive differential equations. *Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, 6. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.*
8. A. SAMOILENKO, S. BORYSENKO, C. CATTANI, G. MATARAZZO, AND V. YASINSKY, Differential models. Stability, inequalities & estimates. *Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 2001.*
9. A. M. SAMOĀLENKO AND N. A. PERESTYUK, Impulsive differential equations. (Translated from the Russian) *World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A: Monographs and Treatises, 14. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995.*
10. S. T. ZAVALISHCHIN AND A. N. SESEKIN, Impulse processes: models and applications. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow, 1991.*
11. F. ZHANG, ZH. MA, AND J. YAN, Functional boundary value problem for first order impulsive differential equations at variable times. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (2003), No. 5, 733–742.
12. Š. SCHWABIK, M. TVRDÝ, AND O. VEJVODA, Differential and integral equations: Boundary value problems and adjoints. *Academia, Praha, 1979.*

(Received 4.07.2007)

Authors' addresses:

M. Ashordia  
 A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute  
 1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193, Georgia  
 Sukhumi State University  
 12, Jikia St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia  
 E-mail: ashord@rmi.acnet.ge

G. Ekhvaia  
 Sukhumi State University  
 12, Jikia St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia