Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 36 (2005), 153-156

T. KIGURADZE

ON LIDSTONE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS WITH TWO INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

(Reported on July 20, 2005)

Let m and n be positive integers, a > 0, b > 0 and $D = [0, a] \times [0, b]$. In the rectangle D consider the nonlinear hyperbolic equation

$$u^{(2m,2n)} = f(x,y,u,\dots,u^{(2m-1,0)},\dots,u^{(0,2n-1)},\dots,u^{(2m-1,2n-1)})$$
(1)

with the boundary conditions

$$u^{(2i,0)}(0,y) = \varphi_{1i}(y), \quad u^{(2i,0)}(a,y) = \varphi_{2i}(y) \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-1),$$

$$u^{(2m,2k)}(x,0) = \psi_{1k}(x), \quad u^{(2m,2k)}(x,b) = \psi_{2k}(x) \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1),$$
(2)

where

$$u^{(i,k)}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^{i+k}u(x,y)}{\partial x^i \partial y^k} \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2m; \ k = 0, \dots, 2n)$$

Moreover, below it will be assumed that the function $f: D \times \mathbb{R}^{4mn} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, the functions $\varphi_{2i}: [0,b] \to \mathbb{R}, \varphi_{2i}: [0,b] \to \mathbb{R} \ (i = 0, \dots, m-1)$ are 2n-times continuously differentiable, and the functions $\psi_{2k}: [0,a] \to \mathbb{R}, \ \psi_{2k}: [0,a] \to \mathbb{R} \ (i = 0, \dots, n-1)$ are continuous.

By $C^{2m,2n}(D)$ denote the space of continuous functions $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$ having the continuous partial derivatives $u^{(j,k)}$ $(j = 0, \ldots, 2m; k = 0, \ldots, 2n)$. By a solution of problem (1),(2) we will understand a *classical solution*, i.e., a function $u \in C^{2m,2n}(D)$ satisfying equation (1) and boundary conditions (2) everywhere in D.

By analogy with the problem

$$z^{(2m)} = g(x, z, \dots, z^{(2m-1)}),$$
 (3)

$$z^{(2i)}(0) = c_{1i}, \quad z^{(2i)}(a) = c_{2i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$
(4)

problem (1),(2) will be called the Lidstone problem.

Problem (3),(4) and its various generalizations were investigated by many authors (see, e.g., [1-8], [12]). As for the problem (1),(2), it was studied in the case, where m = n = 1 and (1) is a linear equation (see [9–11]).

The given below sufficient conditions of solvability and unique solvability of problem (1),(2) concern the case, where on the set $D \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the function f on satisfies either of the conditions

 $|f(x, y, z_{00}, \dots, z_{2m-10}, \dots, z_{02n-1}, \dots, z_{2m-12n-1})|$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} p_{ik}(x,y) |z_{ik}| + q\left(x,y,\sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} |z_{ik}|\right)$$
(5)

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L35, 35B30.

Key words and phrases. Lidstone problem, higher order, nonlinear hyperbolic equation.

and

154

$$|f(x, y, z_{00}, \dots, z_{2m-12n-1}) - f(x, y, \overline{z}_{00}, \dots, \overline{z}_{2m-12n-1})|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} p_{ik}(x, y) |z_{ik} - \overline{z}_{ik}|,$$

where $p_{ik}: D \to [0, +\infty)$ (i = 0, ..., 2m - 1; k = 0, ..., 2n - 1) are continuous functions, and $q: D \times [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function that is nondecreasing in the second argument and

$$\lim_{\rho \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^a \int_0^b q(x, y, \rho) \, dx \, dy = 0.$$
(7)

(6)

Along with (1),(2) we will consider the differential inequality

$$\left|u^{(2m,2n)}(x,y)\right| \le \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} p_{ik}(x,y) \left|u^{(i,k)}(x,y)\right| \tag{8}$$

with the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$u^{(2i,0)}(0,y) = 0, \quad u^{(2i,0)}(a,y) = 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-1),$$

$$u^{(2m,2k)}(x,0) = 0, \quad u^{(2m,2k)}(x,b) = 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1).$$
(9)

By a solution of problem (8),(9) we will understand a function $u \in C^{2m,2n}(D)$ satisfying inequality (8) and boundary conditions (9) everywhere in D.

Theorem 1. Let conditions (5) and (7) (condition (6)) hold, and let problem (8), (9) have only a trivial solution. Then problem (1), (2) has at least one (one and only one) solution.

For arbitrary $s_0 > 0, s \in [0, s_0]$ and a positive integer j set

$$\lambda_1(s;s_0) = \frac{1}{s_0}, \quad \lambda_{2j+1}(s;s_0) = \frac{s(s_0-s)}{2s_0} \left(\frac{s_0^2}{8}\right)^{j-1},$$

$$\lambda_2(s;s_0) = \frac{s(s_0-s)}{s_0}, \quad \lambda_{2j+2}(s;s_0) = \frac{s^2(s_0-s)^2}{2s_0} \left(\frac{s_0^2}{8}\right)^{j-1}.$$
(10)

Theorem 2. Let conditions (5) and (7) (condition (6)) hold, and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \int_0^a \int_0^b p_{ik}(x,y) \lambda_{2m-i}(x;a) \lambda_{2n-k}(y;b) \, dx \, dy \le 1.$$
(11)

Then problem (1), (2) has at least one (one and only one) solution.

Let

$$\mu_{2j-1}(s_0) = \left(\frac{s_0^2}{8}\right)^{j-1}, \quad \mu_{2j}(s_0) = 2\left(\frac{s_0^2}{8}\right)^j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then by (10) we have

$$\lambda_k(s;s_0) \le \frac{1}{s_0} \mu_k(s_0)$$
 for $0 \le s \le s$ $(k = 1, 2, ...).$

Therefore Theorem 2 implies the

Corollary 1. Let conditions (5) and (7) (condition (6) hold, and let

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \mu_{2m-i}(a) \mu_{2n-k}(b) \int_0^a \int_0^b p_{ik}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \le ab.$$
(12)

Then problem (1), (2) has at least one (one and only one) solution.

Let us show that in Theorem 2 and Corollary 1, respectively, conditions (11) and (12) are unimprovable from the viewpoint that they cannot be replaced by the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \int_0^a \int_0^b p_{ik}(x,y) \lambda_{2m-i}(x;a) \lambda_{2n-k}(y;b) \, dx \, dy \le 1+\varepsilon \tag{11}_{\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \mu_{2m-i}(a) \mu_{2n-k}(b) \int_0^a \int_0^b p_{ik}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \le (1+\varepsilon)ab, \tag{12}{\varepsilon}$$

no matter how small $\varepsilon > 0$ is. Indeed, as it was shown in [6] (see Example 1.1), for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist continuous functions $g_1 : [0, a] \to [0, +\infty)$ and $g_2 : [0, b] \to [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$4 < a \int_0^a g_1(x) \, dx < 4\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}, \quad 4 < b \int_0^b g_2(y) \, dy < 4\sqrt{1+\varepsilon},$$

and the boundary value problems

$$w'' = -g_1(x)w, \quad w(0) = w(a) = 0$$

and

$$w'' = -g_2(y)w, \quad w(0) = w(b) = 0$$

have nontrivial solutions w_1 and w_2 . If m > 1 (n > 1), then by v_1 (by v_2) denote the solution of the problem

$$v^{(2m-2)} = w_1(x), \quad v^{(2i)}(0) = v^{(2i)}(a) = 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-1)$$

$$\left(v^{(2n-2)} = w_2(y), \quad v^{(2k)}(0) = v^{(2k)}(b) = 0 \quad (k = 0, \dots, n-1) \right).$$

For m = 1 (n = 1) set $v_1(x) = w_1(x)$ $(v_2(y) = w_2(y))$. Then the function

$$u(x,y) = v_1(x)v_2(y)$$

is a nontrivial solution of the homogeneous equation

$$u^{(2m,2n)} = g(x,y)u^{(2m-2,2n-2)}$$

subject to the boundary conditions (9), where

$$g(x,y) = g_1(x)g_2(y)$$

and

$$16 < ab \int_0^a \int_0^b g(x, y) \, dx \, dy < 16(1 + \varepsilon).$$
(13)

On the other hand, the function

$$f(x, y, z_{00}, \dots, z_{2m-12n-1}) \equiv g(x, y) z_{2m-22n-2}$$

satisfies condition (6), where

 $p_{ik}(x,y) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq 2m-2 \quad \text{or} \quad k \neq 2n-2,$ $p_{ik}(x,y) \equiv g(x,y) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 2m-2, \ k = 2n-2.$

Moreover, as it follows from inequality (13), conditions (11) and (12) are violated, while conditions (11_{ε}) and (12_{ε}) hold.

Theorem 3. Let conditions (5) and (7) (condition (6) hold, where

$$p_{ik}(x,y) \equiv p_{ik}$$
 $(i = 0, \dots, 2m - 1; k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1)$

are nonnegative constants satisfying the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{2m-i} \left(\frac{b}{\pi}\right)^{2n-k} p_{ik} < 1.$$
(14)

Then problem (1), (2) has at least one (one and only one) solution.

Let $i \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}, k \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then the differential equation

$$^{(2m,2n)} = (-1)^{m+n+i+k} \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2m-2i} \left(\frac{\pi}{b}\right)^{2n-2k} u^{(2i,2k)}$$

has a nontrivial solution

u

$$u(x,y) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{b}y\right).$$

Consequently, in Theorem 3 the strict inequality (14) cannot be replaced by the unstrict inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2m-1} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{2m-i} \left(\frac{b}{\pi}\right)^{2n-k} p_{ik} \le 1.$$

References

1. R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, I. Rachůnkova, and S. Staněk, Two-point higher-order BVPs with singularities in phase variables. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **46**(2003), No. 12, 1799–1826.

2. R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and S. Staněk, Singular Lidstone boundary value problem with given maximal values for solutions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **55**(2003), No. 7–8, 859–881.

3. R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, Lidstone polynomials and boundary value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **17**(1989), No. 10, 1397–1421.

4. P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York–London–Sydney, 1964.

5. I. Kiguradze, Concerning the solvability of two-point singular boundary value problems. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **53**(1998), No. 4, 153.

6. I. Kiguradze, Some optimal conditions for the solvability of two-point singular boundary value problems. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* **10**(2003), No. 1–2, 259–281.

7. I. Kiguradze and G. Tskhovrebadze, On the two-point boundary value problems for systems of higher order ordinary differential equations with singularities. *Georgian Math. J.* 1(1994), No. 1, 31–45.

8. I. Kiguradze, B. Půža and I. P. Stavroulakis, On singular boundary value problems for functional differential equations of higher order. *Georgian Math. J.* 8(2001), No. 4, 791–814.

9. T. Kiguradze, On the correctness of the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for fourth order linear hyperbolic equations. *Georgian Math. J.* 6(1999), No. 5, 447–470.

10. T. Kiguradze, On the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for fourth order linear singular hyperbolic equations. *Georgian Math. J.* **6**(1999), No. 6, 537–552.

11. T. Kiguradze and V. Lakshmikantham, On the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for higher order linear hyperbolic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **50**(2002), No. 8, *Ser. A: Theory Methods*, 1153–1178.

12. P. J. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, Eigenvalues of Lidstone boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **104**(1999), No. 1, 15–31.

Author's address:

T. Kiguradze Florida Institute of Technology Department of Mathematical Sciences 150 W. University Blvd. Melbourne, Fl 32901 USA E-mail: tkigurad@fit.edu

156