

M. ALVES

ABOUT A PROBLEM ARISING IN CHEMICAL REACTOR THEORY

(Reported on December 23, 1998)

1. NOTATION

Throughout this paper $C \equiv C[0, 1]$ denotes the Banach space of continuous functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$,

$$\|x\|_C \stackrel{def}{=} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|;$$

$L_p \equiv L_p[0, 1]$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) denotes the Banach space of summable in p -th degree functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$,

$$\|x\|_{L_p} \stackrel{def}{=} \left(\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p};$$

$L_\infty \equiv L_\infty[0, 1]$ denotes the Banach space of essentially bounded measurable functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$,

$$\|x\|_{L_\infty} \stackrel{def}{=} \text{vrai sup}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|;$$

$W_p^2 \equiv W_p^2[0, 1]$ denotes the Banach space of continuous functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ with the absolutely continuous derivative \dot{x} such that $\ddot{x} \in L_p$,

$$\|x\|_{W_p^2} \stackrel{def}{=} \|\ddot{x}\|_{L_p} + |x(0)| + |\dot{x}(0)|.$$

2. THE SPACE OF SOLUTIONS D_p

Consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\mathfrak{I}_0 x)(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{k}{t} \dot{x}(t) = f(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \dot{x}(0) = 0, & x(1) = \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $k > -\frac{1}{p'}$, $f \in L_p$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, $p' = 1$ if $p = \infty$.

Considering this problem on the traditional space W_p^2 , we see that \mathfrak{I}_0 is not defined as an operator acting from W_p^2 into L_p . Following the scheme given in the monograph [1], we will investigate this problem on the space $D_p \subset W_p^2$ of functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$, such that $\dot{x}(0) = 0$ and defined by

$$x(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)z(s) ds + \beta$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34K10.

Key words and phrases. Boundary value problem, functional differential equation, chemical reactor theory.

for each pair $\{z, \beta\} \in L_p \times \mathbf{R}^1$. The space D_p is isomorphic to the direct product $L_p \times \mathbf{R}^1$. The isomorphisms $\mathcal{J} : L_p \times \mathbf{R}^1 \rightarrow D_p$ and $\mathcal{J}^{-1} : D_p \rightarrow L_p \times \mathbf{R}^1$ we define by the equalities $\mathcal{J} = \{\Lambda, Y\}$, $\mathcal{J}^{-1} = [\delta, r]$, where

$$\begin{cases} (\Lambda z)(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)z(s) ds, & (Y\beta)(t) = \beta, \\ \delta x = \ddot{x}, & rx = x(0). \end{cases}$$

The space D_p becomes a Banach one under the norm

$$\|x\|_{D_p} \stackrel{def}{=} \|\ddot{x}\|_{L_p} + |x(0)|.$$

The principal part of the operator $\mathfrak{S}_0 : D_p \rightarrow L_p$ is

$$(Qz)(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (\mathfrak{S}_0 \Lambda z)(t) = z(t) + (\mathcal{P}z)(t),$$

where $(\mathcal{P}z)(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{k}{t} \int_0^t z(s) ds$ is the Cesàro operator [2] on the space L_p . The functions

$u(t) \equiv 1$ and $v(t) = t^{1-k}$ satisfy the equation $\mathfrak{S}_0 x = 0$. Nevertheless the fundamental system of $\mathfrak{S}_0 x = 0$ consists only of $u(t) \equiv 1$, such as the other element $v(t) = t^{1-k}$ does not belong to the space D_p . By virtue of the results of [5, p. 102] it follows that, if $k > -\frac{1}{p}$, the operator $Q : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ has the bounded inverse

$$(Q^{-1}z)(t) = z(t) - kt^{-(1+k)} \int_0^t s^k z(s) ds.$$

The solution of the problem (1) on the space D_p is given by the expression

$$x = \mathcal{W}f + \alpha,$$

where the Green operator $\mathcal{W} : L_p \rightarrow D_p$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{W}f)(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^1 W(t,s)f(s) ds,$$

$$W(t,s) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{s^k(t^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{s^k(s^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} & \text{if } 0 \leq t < s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for $k > -\frac{1}{p}$, $k \neq 1$, or

$$W(t,s) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{cases} s \ln t & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ s \ln s & \text{if } 0 \leq t < s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1$. Really, using the equality

$$\dot{x}(t) = \int_0^t \ddot{x}(s) ds$$

for $x \in D_p$ we rewrite the problem (1) on the space D_p in the form

$$\ddot{x}(t) = f(t) - kt^{-(1+k)} \int_0^t s^k f(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x(1) = \alpha.$$

Immediate computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s) \left[f(s) - ks^{-(1+k)} \int_0^s \tau^k f(\tau) d\tau \right] ds + x(0) = \\ &= \int_0^t \left[t-s - ks^k \int_s^t (t-\tau)\tau^{-(1+k)} d\tau \right] f(s) ds + x(0). \end{aligned}$$

The condition $x(1) = 0$ gives

$$x(0) = - \int_0^1 \left[1-s - ks^k \int_s^1 (1-\tau)\tau^{-(1+k)} d\tau \right] f(s) ds.$$

Consequently

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 W(t,s) f(s) ds + \alpha, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Bellow we will use results of [4] about estimation of the spectral radius $\rho(\mathcal{H})$ of the isotonic operator $\mathcal{H} : C \rightarrow C$. We formulate this result in the form satisfying our aims:

Lemma 1. *Suppose that the isotonic operator \mathcal{H} enjoys the property $(\mathcal{H}\zeta)(1) = 0$ for each $\zeta \in C$. The following statements are equivalent:*

1) *There exists $y \in C$ such that*

$$y(t) > 0, \quad y(t) - (\mathcal{H}y)(t) > 0, \quad t \in [0, 1];$$

2) $\rho(\mathcal{H}) < 1$. \square

Lemma 2. *The integral operator $\mathcal{W} : L_p \rightarrow C$ is completely continuous, for all $1 < p \leq \infty$.*

Proof. We consider only the case $k > -\frac{1}{p'}$, $k \neq 1$, the case $k = 1$ can be proved analogously. To prove the compactness of the operator \mathcal{W} it suffices to show [5, p. 102] that, for any $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ the equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int_0^1 |W(t,s) - W(t_0,s)|^{p'} ds = 0$$

holds. For $1 \leq p' < \infty$, $0 < t_0 < t \leq 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |W(t, s) - W(t_0, s)|^{p'} ds &= \int_0^{t_0} \left| \frac{s^k(t^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} - \frac{s^k(t_0^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} \right|^{p'} ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \left| \frac{s^k(s^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} - \frac{s^k(t_0^{1-k} - 1)}{1-k} \right|^{p'} ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{t_0^{p'k+1}}{|1-k|^{p'}(p'k+1)} (t^{1-k} - t_0^{1-k})^{p'} + O(t^{p'+1} - t_0^{p'+1}) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow t_0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we prove the respective statement for $0 = t_0 < t \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t < t_0 \leq 1$. \square

3. THE DE LA VALLÉE-POUSSIN LIKE THEOREM

Consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\mathfrak{S}x)(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (\mathfrak{S}_0x)(t) - (Tx)(t) = f(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \dot{x}(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $k > -\frac{1}{p'}$, $T : C \rightarrow L_p$ is a linear antitonic operator, $f \in L_p$. Denote $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{W}T : C \rightarrow C$.

Lemma 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1) *There exists an element $y \in D_p$ such that*

$$y(t) > 0, \quad \phi(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (\mathfrak{S}_0y)(t) - (Ty)(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \text{and}$$

$$y(1) - \int_0^1 \phi(s) ds > 0;$$

2) $\rho(\mathcal{A}) < 1$;

3) *The boundary value-problem (2) is uniquely solvable on D_p for each $f \in L_p$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^1$, and its Green operator \mathcal{G} is antitonic;*

4) *There exists a positive solution $u \in D_p$ on $[0, 1]$ of the homogeneous equation $\mathfrak{S}x = 0$.*

Proof. Since $y(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$(\mathfrak{S}_0x)(t) - (Tx)(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x(1) = y(1)$$

on the space D_p , it follows that

$$y - \mathcal{A}y = \mathcal{W}\phi + y(1) > 0$$

on the space C . By virtue of Lemma 1 it follows that $\rho(\mathcal{A}) < 1$. The implication 1) \implies 2) is proved.

Supposing $\alpha \geq 0$ we consider the problem (2), which is equivalent to the equation

$$x = \mathcal{A}x + g$$

on the space C . Here

$$g(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 W(\cdot, s)f(s) ds + \alpha.$$

Since $\rho(\mathcal{A}) < 1$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{G} = (I + \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^2 + \cdots)\mathcal{W}.$$

Consequently the implication 2) \implies 3) is proved.

The problem

$$\Im_0 x - Tx = 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha$$

is equivalent to the equation

$$x = \mathcal{A}x + \alpha.$$

Since $\rho(\mathcal{A}) < 1$, we have $x = \alpha + \mathcal{A}\alpha + \mathcal{A}^2\alpha + \cdots \geq 0$ if $\alpha > 0$. Thus the implication 3) \implies 4) is proved.

The implication 4) \implies 1), follows from Lemma 1 because the positive solution $u(t)$ of the equation $\Im x = 0$ satisfies the inequalities

$$u(t) > 0, \quad u(t) - (\mathcal{A}u)(t) = \alpha > 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad \square$$

4. THE MAIN RESULT

Consider the nonlinear boundary-value problem

$$\Im_0 x = f(\cdot, \Theta x), \quad \dot{x}(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha, \quad (3)$$

where $\Theta : C \rightarrow L_p$ is a linear isotonic operator, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $k > -\frac{1}{p}$, the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions. By definition put $\bar{v} = \Theta v$, $\bar{z} = \Theta z$, $[\bar{v}, \bar{z}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in L_p : \bar{v} \leq x \leq \bar{z}\}$.

Following [5], we will say that the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}^i[\bar{v}, \bar{z}]$, $i = 1, 2$, if it is possible the decomposition

$$f[t, u(t)] = q_i(t)u(t) + M_i[t, u(t)], \quad u \in [\bar{v}, \bar{z}],$$

where $q_i \in L_\infty$, $i = 1, 2$, the operator $\mathcal{M}_i : [\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p} \rightarrow L_p$ is defined by $(\mathcal{M}_i u)(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_i[\cdot, u(\cdot)]$, \mathcal{M}_1 is isotonic and \mathcal{M}_2 is antitonic.

Theorem 1. *Let $v, z \in D_p$ be a pair of functions such that $v(t) < z(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and*

$$\Im_0 v \geq f(\cdot, \Theta v), \quad \Im_0 z \leq f(\cdot, \Theta z), \quad v(1) \leq \alpha \leq z(1). \quad (4)$$

Suppose that the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}^2[\bar{v}, \bar{z}]$ with $q_2 \in L_\infty$, $q_2(\cdot) \leq 0$. Then the problem (3) has at least one solution $x \in [v, z]_{D_p}$.

If besides the $\mathcal{L}^1[\bar{v}, \bar{z}]$ condition is fulfilled with a coefficient $q_1 \in L_\infty$, and the Green operator of the auxiliary problem

$$\Im_1 x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Im_0 x - q_1 \Theta x = \varphi, \quad x(1) = 0, \quad (5)$$

is antitonic, then the problem (3) has only one solution $x \in [v, z]$.

Proof. Rewrite (3) in the form

$$(\mathfrak{S}_2 x)(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathfrak{S}_0 x)(\cdot) - q_2(\cdot)(\Theta x)(\cdot) = M_2[\cdot, (\Theta x)(\cdot)], \quad x(1) = \alpha$$

on the space D_p . This problem is equivalent to the equation

$$x = A_2 x \tag{6}$$

with the completely continuous isotonic operator $A_2 : [v, z]_C \rightarrow C$, defined by

$$(A_2 x)(\cdot) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 G_2(\cdot, s) M_2[s, (\Theta x)(s)] ds + u_2(\cdot),$$

where $u_2(\cdot)$ is the solution of the semi-homogeneous problem

$$(\mathfrak{S}_2 x)(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x(1) = \alpha,$$

$G_2(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Green function of the problem

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 x = \xi, \quad x(1) = 0. \tag{7}$$

We use here the fact that the Green operator \mathcal{G}_2 of the problem (7) has the representation $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{W}\Gamma$ [1,p.19], where $\Gamma : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ is a linear homeomorphism, consequently \mathcal{G}_2 is a completely continuous operator because of Lemma 2. Each continuous solution of the equation (6) belongs to the space D_p , because the operator A_2 is defined on the order interval $[v, z]_C$ of the space C and maps this interval into the space D_p . Obviously the isotonic operator $\Theta : C \rightarrow L_p$ maps the order interval $[v, z]_C$ into order interval $[\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p}$. The operator $\mathcal{M}_2 : [\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p} \rightarrow L_p$ is antitonic, therefore it maps the order interval $[\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p}$ into $[\mathcal{M}_2 \bar{z}, \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{v}]_{L_p}$. Let $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z - v$. Then $y(t) > 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 y \leq \mathcal{M}_2 \Theta z - \mathcal{M}_2 \Theta v \leq 0,$$

because of the antitonicity of \mathcal{M}_2 and

$$y(1) - \int_0^1 (\mathfrak{S}_2 y)(s) ds > 0.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3 we have that the Green operator $\mathcal{G}_2 : L_p \rightarrow D_p \subset C$ of the problem (7) is antitonic. Thus

$$[\mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{v}, \mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{z}]_{D_p} \subset [\mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{v}, \mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{z}]_C.$$

Therefore the equation (6) may be considered in the order interval $[v, z]_C$ of the space C . By virtue of the conditions (4) it follows that $z(t) \geq (A_2 z)(t)$ and $v(t) \leq (A_2 v)(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Because of the isotonicity of the operator $A_2 : [v, z]_C \rightarrow C$ this guarantees $A_2[v, z]_C \subset [v, z]_C$. For $1 < p \leq \infty$ the operator $A_2 : [v, z]_C \rightarrow [v, z]_C$ is completely continuous as a product of the operators $\Theta : [v, z]_C \rightarrow [\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p}$, $\mathcal{M}_2 : [\bar{v}, \bar{z}]_{L_p} \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_2 \bar{z}, \mathcal{M}_2 \bar{v}]_{L_p}$ and the completely continuous $\mathcal{G}_2 : L_p \rightarrow C$.

Thus, the operator A_2 maps the closed convex set $[v, z]_C$ of the Banach space C into itself. In accordance with the Schauder's fixed point theorem the equation (6) has at least one solution $x \in [v, z]_C$.

Let us show that the set of all solutions $x \in [v, z]_C$ has a superior element $\bar{x} \in [v, z]_C$ (the upper solution) and an inferior element $\underline{x} \in [v, z]_C$ (the lower solution). Let $x \in [v, z]_C$ be a solution of the equation (6). The sequence $\{z^i\}$, $z^{i+1} = A_2 z^i$, $z^0 = z$ monotonically decreases and is bounded by $x \in [v, z]_C$, because the operator A_2 maps the set $[v, z]_C$ into itself. A compact monotone sequence $\{z^i\}$ converges [2,

p. 38] to $\bar{x} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z^i$. Since this limit is a solution, the inequality $\bar{x} \geq x$ for any solution $x \in [v, z]_C$ is proved. Analogously we show the existence of the inferior solution \underline{x} .

Now we have to show that if the condition $\mathcal{L}^1[v, z]$ is fulfilled, the solution of the problem (3) is unique, i.e. $\bar{x} = \underline{x}$. Using the $\mathcal{L}^1[v, z]$, condition we rewrite the problem (3) in the form

$$(\mathfrak{S}_1 x)(\cdot) = M_1[\cdot, (\Theta x)(\cdot)], \quad x(1) = \alpha.$$

This problem is equivalent to the equation

$$x = A_1 x$$

on the order interval $[v, z]_C$ of the space C with antitonic operator $A_1 : [v, z]_C \rightarrow C$, defined by

$$(A_1 x)(\cdot) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^1 G_1(\cdot, s) M_1[s, (\Theta = x)(s)] ds + u_1(\cdot),$$

where $G_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Green function of the problem (5), $u_1(\cdot)$ is the solution of semi-homogeneous problem

$$(\mathfrak{S}_1 x)(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x(1) = \alpha.$$

Consider the equality $\bar{x} - \underline{x} = A_1 \bar{x} - A_1 \underline{x}$. The left-hand side of the equality is non-negative and the right-hand side is non-positive, thus we get $\underline{x} = \bar{x}$. \square

5. EXAMPLES

Example 1. Consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{1}{t}\dot{x}(t) = -\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|x(t)|}\right), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \dot{x}(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq e^2$. This problem describes processes arising in chemical reactor theory with cylindrical symmetry [7, p. 326], under the Arrhenius law. We consider this problem on the space D_∞ .

As comparison functions we choose

$$v(t) \equiv 0, \quad z(t) = \frac{\beta}{4}(1 - t^2) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

A trivial verification shows that the conditions (4) are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{v}(t) + \frac{\dot{v}(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|v(t)|}\right) &= 0, \\ \ddot{z}(t) + \frac{\dot{z}(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|z(t)|}\right) &\leq -\beta + \beta = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]; \\ v(1) = 0 = x(1) &< z(1) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $f(\cdot, x) = -\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|x|}\right)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}^2[v, z]$ with the coefficient $q_2 \equiv 0$. The boundary-value problem

$$\mathfrak{S}_0 x = \xi, \quad x(1) = 0,$$

has for each $\xi \in L_\infty$ a unique solution $x \in D_\infty$, and its Green function $W(t, s) \leq 0$ on the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Besides, the function $f(\cdot, x) = -\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|x|}\right)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}^1[v, z]$ with the coefficient $q_1 = -4\beta e^{-2}$.

Taking the function $y(t) = \frac{\beta}{4}(1-t^2)$ we have:

$$(\mathfrak{S}_1 y)(t) = (\mathfrak{S}_0 y)(t) + 4\beta e^{-2} y(t) = -\beta + \beta^2 e^{-2}(1-t^2) < \beta(-1 + \beta e^{-2}) \leq 0$$

and

$$y(1) - \int_0^1 (\mathfrak{S}_1 y)(s) ds = \int_0^1 [\beta - \beta^2 e^{-2}(1-s^2)] ds = (\beta - \frac{2}{3}\beta^2 e^{-2}) > 0,$$

since $\beta \leq e^2$. Consequently, by Lemma 3, the Green operator \mathcal{G}_1 of the problem

$$\mathfrak{S}_0 x + 4\beta e^{-2} x = \xi, \quad x(1) = 0$$

is antitonic. Then, because of Theorem 1 the problem (8) has a unique solution $x \in D_\infty$ such that

$$0 \leq x(t) \leq \frac{\beta}{4}(1-t^2) + \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad \square$$

Example 2. Let

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{2}{t}\dot{x}(t) = -\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|x(t)|}\right), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \dot{x}(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

be a nonlinear boundary-value problem, $37.28 \leq \beta \leq \frac{12}{17} e^{17/2}$. This problem describes processes arising in chemical reactor with spherical symmetry [7, p. 326].

The problem (9) with such β has more than one solution on the space D_p , $1 < p \leq \infty$. Indeed, there are at least two pairs of functions

$$v_1(t) \equiv 0, \quad z_1(t) = \frac{2(1-t^2)}{17}, \quad v_2(t) = 4[\operatorname{erf}(1) - \operatorname{erf}(t^2)], \quad z_2(t) = \frac{\beta}{6}(1-t^2).$$

The conditions (4) are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{v}_1(t) + \frac{2\dot{v}_1(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|v_1(t)|}\right) &= 0, \\ \ddot{z}_1(t) + \frac{2\dot{z}_1(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|z_1(t)|}\right) &= \beta \exp\left(-\frac{17}{2(1-t^2)}\right) - \frac{12}{17} < 0, \\ \ddot{v}_2(t) + \frac{2\dot{v}_2(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|v_2(t)|}\right) &= \frac{16 \exp(-t^4)}{\sqrt{\pi}}(4t^4 - 3) + \\ &+ \beta \exp\left(\frac{-0.25}{\operatorname{erf}(1) - \operatorname{erf}(t^2)}\right) > 0, \\ \ddot{z}_2(t) + \frac{2\dot{z}_2(t)}{t} + \beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|z_2(t)|}\right) &= \beta \exp\left(-\frac{6}{\beta(1-t^2)}\right) - \beta < 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $37.28 \leq \beta \leq \frac{12}{17} e^{17/2}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. The existence of solution of the problem (9) on each interval $[v_i, z_i]$, $i = 1, 2$, follows from Theorem 1. Since the intervals $[v_1, z_1]$, $[v_2, z_2]$ are disjoint, the problem (9) has at least two solutions $x_1, x_2 \in D_p$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, such that $v_1 \leq x_1 \leq z_1$, $v_2 \leq x_2 \leq z_2$. \square

REFERENCES

1. N. AZBELEV AND L. RAKHMATULLINA, Theory of linear abstract functional differential equations and applications. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **8**(1996), 1–102.
2. P. ZABREĀKO AND OTHERS, Integral equations. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1968.
3. I. MUNTEAN, The spectrum of the Cesàro operator. *Mathematica – Revue d'analyse numérique et de théorie de l'approximation* **45**(1980), 97–105.
4. N. AZBELEV AND L. RAKHMATULLINA, On the estimate for the spectral radius of a linear operator in the space of continuous functions. (Russian) *Izv. Vuzov. Matematika* **11**(1996), 23–28.
5. N. AZBELEV, V. MAKSIMOV, AND L. RAKHMATULLINA, Introduction to the theory of functional differential equations. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1991.
6. M. KRASNOSEL'SKIĀ, Positive solutions of operator equations. (Russian) *Izv. Vuzov. Matematika* **11**(1996), 23–28.
7. D. FRANK-KAMENETSKIĀ, Diffusion and heat exchange in chemical kinetics. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1987.

Author's address:
Eduardo Mondlane University
Department of Mathematics
P.O. Box 257 - Maputo
Mozambique